

## A NOTE ON DEGENERATE BERNOULLI POLYNOMIALS ARISING FROM UMBRAL CALCULUS

JIN-WOO PARK AND SUNG-SOO PYO

ABSTRACT. In [18, 26], Kim-Kim-Kim defined the  $\lambda$ -analogue of Stirling number of the first and the second kind. In this paper, we find the relationships between various special functions by expressing degenerate Bernoulli polynomials as linear combinations of some special polynomials by using umbral calculus.

2010 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION. 05A30, 11B80, 11S83, 33E20.

KEYWORDS AND PHRASES. degenerate Bernoulli polynomials, umbral calculus,  $\lambda$ -analogue of the Stirling numbers of the first and second kind.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

For nonzero integers  $n$  and  $k$ , the *Stirling numbers of the first kind*  $S_1(n, k)$  and the *Stirling numbers of the second kind*  $S_2(n, k)$ , respectively, are given by

$$(x)_n = \sum_{k=0}^n S_1(n, k)x^k \text{ and } x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n S_2(n, k)(x)_k, \text{ (see [10, 13, 33])}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $(x)_0 = 1$ ,  $(x)_n = x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)$ , ( $n \geq 1$ ) are the falling factorial sequences.

The *Bernoulli polynomials* are defined by the generating function to be

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \text{ (see [3, 6, 7, 15])}. \quad (1.2)$$

When  $x = 0$ ,  $B_n = B_n(0)$  are called the *Bernoulli numbers*.

There are many numbers that are important in combinatorics such as Fibonacci number, Bernoulli number, Euler number, Bell number, and Stirling number etc., and its applications and extensions are being actively studied by many researchers. In particular, in [30], authors defined the  $k$ -Fibonacci sequences and various factorizations of the  $k$ -Fibonacci and  $k$ -symmetric Fibonacci matrices are obtained. Some inequalities involving the eigenvalues of the  $k$ -symmetric Fibonacci matrices and some combinatorial identities are also obtained. In [1], authors gave some combinatorial properties of a new generalization of hyper-Lucas numbers, and investigated norms of some circulant and s-circulant matrices. Chakraborty-Komastu introduced generalized hypergeometric Bernoulli numbers with Dirichlet characters, and derived some expressions of these numbers in [7]. In [39], authors introduced a type 2 poly-Frobenius-Genocchi polynomials by using the polyexponential function, and derived some new relations and properties including the Stirling numbers of the first and second kinds. Kim-Kim-Dolgy-Park investigated the Poisson random variables related to the Lah-Bell polynomials and the degenerate binomial.

In addition, authors found some connections between degenerate Poisson random variables and the degenerate Lah-Bell polynomials in [23].

For any nonzero real number  $\lambda$ , the *degenerate exponential function* is defined to be

$$e_\lambda^x(t) = (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}}, \quad e_\lambda(t) = (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, \quad (\text{see [6, 19]}). \quad (1.3)$$

The study of degenerate version of a functions was first initiated by L. Carlitz, and since then, degenerate versions of various special functions have been defined and their properties have been actively studied by many researchers. In [21], Kim-Kim introduced the degenerate  $r$ -Whitney numbers of the first kind, of the second kind, and derived some properties, recurrence relations, orthogonality relations and several identities on those numbers. Khan-Younis-Nadeem introduced partially degenerate Laguerre-Bernoulli polynomials of the first kind and derived some implicit summation formulas for those polynomials (see [12]). In [4], Aydin-Acikgoz-Araci found some properties and identities for degenerate Hurwitz-zeta, modified degenerate Hurwitz-zeta and degenerate digamma functions. Komatsu-Young found a general convolution formula involving the generalized Stirling numbers of Hsu and Shiue and the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials in [29].

In viewpoint of (1.2) and (1.3), the *degenerate Bernoulli polynomials* are defined by the generating function to be

$$\frac{t}{e_\lambda(t) - 1} e_\lambda^x(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (\text{see [6, 22]}).$$

In the special case  $x = 0$ ,  $\beta_{n,\lambda} = \beta_{n,\lambda}(0)$  are called the *degenerate Bernoulli numbers*. Note that  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = B_n(x)$ .

As degenerate version of the Stirling numbers of the first and second kind, the *degenerate Stirling numbers of the first kind*  $S_{1,\lambda}(n, k)$  and the *degenerate Stirling numbers of the second kind*  $S_{2,\lambda}(n, k)$  are respectively introduced by Kim-Kim (see [13, 25]) as follows:

$$\frac{1}{k!} (\log_\lambda(1+t))^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_{1,\lambda}(n, k) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{k!} (e_\lambda(t) - 1)^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_{2,\lambda}(n, k) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \quad (1.4)$$

The  $\lambda$ -analogue of the Stirling numbers of the first kind and the second kind are defined by

$$(x)_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^n S_\lambda^{(1)}(n, k) x^k, \quad \text{and} \quad x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n S_\lambda^{(2)}(n, k) (x)_{k,\lambda}, \quad (\text{see [18, 26]}). \quad (1.5)$$

By (1.5), we see that

$$\frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{\log(1 + \lambda t)}{\lambda} \right)^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_\lambda^{(1)}(n, k) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t} - 1}{\lambda} \right)^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S_\lambda^{(2)}(n, k) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (1.6)$$

(see [18, 26]).

## 2. REVIEW OF UMBRAL CALCULUS

Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the complex numbers field,

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \mid a_k \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

and let

$$\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{C}[x] = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k \mid a_k \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } a_k = 0 \text{ for all but finite number of } k \right\}.$$

Let  $\mathbb{P}^*$  be the vector space of all linear functionals on  $\mathbb{P}$ .

Then linear functional  $\langle f(t) | \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathbb{P}$  given by  $f(t)$ , is defined by

$$\langle f(t) | x^n \rangle = a_n, (n \geq 0), \text{ (see [11, 15, 35, 36])}. \tag{2.1}$$

From (2.1), we have

$$\langle t^k | x^n \rangle = n! \delta_{n,k}, (n, k \geq 0), \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\delta_{n,k}$  is Kronecker's symbol.

For each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$  and each  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , the differential operator on  $\mathbb{P}$  by

$$(t^k) x^n = \begin{cases} (n)_k x^{n-k}, & \text{if } k \leq n, \\ 0, & \text{if } k > n, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

and for any  $f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{t^k}{k!} \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$(f(t)) x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_k x^{n-k}, \text{ (see [11, 15, 35, 36])}. \tag{2.4}$$

In addition, they showed that for  $f(t), g(t) \in \mathcal{F}$ , and  $p(x) \in \mathbb{P}$ ,

$$\langle f(t)g(t) | p(x) \rangle = \langle g(t) | (f(t))p(x) \rangle = \langle f(t) | (g(t))p(x) \rangle. \tag{2.5}$$

The order  $o(f(t))$  of  $f(t) \in \mathcal{F} - \{0\}$  is the smallest integer  $k$  for which the coefficient of  $t^k$  does not vanish. If  $o(f(t)) = 0$ , then  $f(t)$  is called *invertible* and such series has a multiplicative inverse  $\frac{1}{f(t)}$  of  $f(t)$ . If  $o(f(t)) = 1$ , then  $f(t)$  is called *delta series* and it has a compositional inverse  $\bar{f}(t)$  of  $f(t)$  with  $\bar{f}(f(t)) = f(\bar{f}(t)) = t$ .

Let  $f(t)$  be a delta series and let  $g(t)$  be an invertible series. Then there exists a unique sequence  $S_n(x)$ , ( $\deg S_n(x) = n$ ) of polynomials satisfying the orthogonality conditions

$$\langle g(t)(f(t))^k | S_n(x) \rangle = n! \delta_{n,k}, (n, k \geq 0), \text{ (see [11, 15, 35, 36])}. \tag{2.6}$$

Here  $S_n(x)$  is called the *Sheffer sequence* for  $(g(t), f(t))$ , which is denoted by  $S_n(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))$ . The sequence  $S_n(x)$  is the Sheffer sequence for  $(g(t), f(t))$  if and only if

$$\frac{1}{g(\bar{f}(t))} e^{y\bar{f}(t)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(y) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \text{ (see [11, 15, 35, 36])}, \tag{2.7}$$

for all  $y \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\bar{f}(t)$  is the compositional inverse of  $f(t)$  such that  $f(\bar{f}(t)) = \bar{f}(f(t)) = t$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $S_n(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))_\lambda$  and let  $h(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n a_l S_{l,\lambda}(x) \in \mathbb{P}$ . Then*

$$a_k = \frac{1}{k!} \langle g(t) (f(t))^k | h(x) \rangle.$$

*Proof.* Let  $S_n(x) \sim (g(t), f(t))$  and let  $h(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n a_l S_l(x)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g(t) (f(t))^k \mid h(x) \rangle &= \sum_{l=0}^n a_l \langle g(t) (f(t))^k \mid S_l(x) \rangle \\ &= k! a_k, \end{aligned}$$

and thus our proof is completed. □

**Theorem 2.2.** ([35, 36, 15]) *Let  $S_n \sim (g(t), f(t))$ ,  $r_n \sim (h(t), l(t))$ . Then we have*

$$S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n,k} r_k,$$

where

$$c_{n,k} = \frac{1}{k!} \left\langle \frac{h(\bar{f}(t))}{g(\bar{f}(t))} (l(\bar{f}(t)))^k \mid x^n \right\rangle.$$

In 1900’s, umbral calculus consisted of symbolic techniques for sequence manipulation and its mathematical rigor was excluded. In the 1970s, G. C. Rota built a completely rigid foundation for theories based on modern ideas of linear functions, linear operators, and adjacency functions (see [6, 15, 32, 36]), and umbral calculus has been applied in many fields such as combinatorial counting, graph theory with chromatic polynomials, probability theory, statistics, topology, physics, etc (see [11, 14, 15, 24, 27, 28, 32, 35, 36]).

In this paper, we find some new and interesting identities related to the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials and some special polynomials by finding the coefficients when we express bernoulli polynomials as a linear combinations of other special polynomials with umbral calculus.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

By the definition of the Bernoulli polynomials and degenerate Bernoulli polynomials, we see that

$$B_n(x) \sim \left( \frac{e^t - 1}{t}, t \right), \text{ and } \beta_{n,\lambda}(x) \sim \left( \frac{\lambda(e^t - 1)}{e^{\lambda t} - 1}, \frac{e^{\lambda t} - 1}{\lambda} \right). \tag{3.1}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t}{e_\lambda(t) - 1} e_\lambda^x(t) &= \left( \sum_{n=0}^\infty \beta_{n,\lambda} \frac{t^n}{n!} \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^\infty (x)_{n,\lambda} \frac{t^n}{n!} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} \beta_{n-m,\lambda}(x)_{m,\lambda} \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

and so we see that

$$\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} \beta_{n-m,\lambda}(x)_{m,\lambda} = \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{m} S_\lambda^{(1)}(m, k) \beta_{n-m,\lambda} x^k. \tag{3.2}$$

Let  $\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n a_{n,l} B_l(x)$ . Then, by Theorem 2.2 and (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,l} &= \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \frac{\frac{e_\lambda(t)-1}{\frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1+\lambda t)}}{\frac{e_\lambda(t)-1}{t}} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1+\lambda t) \right)^l \middle| x^n \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{\lambda t}{\log(1+\lambda t)} \middle| \left( \frac{1}{l!} \left( \frac{\log(1+\lambda t)}{\lambda} \right)^l \right) x^n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=l}^n \binom{n}{k} S_\lambda^{(1)}(k, l) \left\langle \frac{\lambda t}{\log(1+\lambda t)} \middle| x^{n-k} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=l}^n \binom{n}{k} S_\lambda^{(1)}(k, l) \lambda^{n-k} b_{n-k}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where  $b_n$  are the Bernoulli numbers of the second kind which are defined by the generating function to be

$$\frac{t}{\log(1+t)}(1+t)^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \frac{t^n}{n!}, \text{ (see [24]).}$$

In addition, by (1.5), Lemma 2.1 and (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,l} &= \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \frac{e^t - 1}{t} t^l \middle| \beta_{n,\lambda}(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{a=0}^k \binom{n}{k} \beta_{n-k,\lambda} S_\lambda^{(1)}(k, a) \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \frac{e^t - 1}{t} t^l \middle| x^a \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{a=0}^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{a}{l} \beta_{n-k,\lambda} S_\lambda^{(1)}(k, a) \left\langle \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \middle| x^{a-l} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{a=0}^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{a}{l} \frac{\beta_{n-k,\lambda} S_\lambda^{(1)}(k, a)}{a-l+1} \langle e^t - 1 \middle| x^{a-l+1} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{a=l}^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{a}{l} \frac{\beta_{n-k,\lambda} S_\lambda^{(1)}(k, a)}{a-l+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Conversely, assume that  $B_n(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n b_{n,l} \beta_{l,\lambda}(x)$ . Then, by (1.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n,l} &= \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \frac{\frac{\lambda(e^t-1)}{e^{\lambda t}-1}}{\frac{e^t-1}{t}} \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t}-1}{\lambda} \right)^l \middle| x^n \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t}-1} \middle| \left( \frac{1}{l!} \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t}-1}{\lambda} \right)^l \right) x^n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=l}^n \binom{n}{k} S_\lambda^{(2)}(k, l) \left\langle \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t}-1} \middle| x^{n-k} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=l}^n \binom{n}{k} S_\lambda^{(2)}(k, l) \lambda^{n-k} B_{n-k}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{n,\lambda}(x) &= \sum_{l=0}^n \left( \sum_{k=l}^n \binom{n}{k} S_{\lambda}^{(1)}(k, l) \lambda^{n-k} b_{n-k} \right) B_l(x) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{a=l}^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{a}{l} \frac{\beta_{n-k,\lambda} S_{\lambda}^{(1)}(k, a)}{a-l+1} \right) B_l(x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left( \sum_{k=l}^n \binom{n}{k} S_{\lambda}^{(2)}(k, l) \lambda^{n-k} B_{n-k} \right) \beta_{l,\lambda}(x).$$

The Euler polynomials are defined by the generating function to be

$$\frac{2}{e^t + 1} e^{xt} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} E_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (\text{see [2, 15, 37]}).$$

In the special case  $x = 0$ ,  $E_n = E_n(0)$  are called the Euler numbers. By the definition of the Euler polynomials, the Sheffer sequences of the Euler polynomials are

$$E_n(x) \sim \left( \frac{e^t + 1}{2}, t \right).$$

Let  $\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n a_{n,l} E_l(x)$ . Since

$$\frac{e_{\lambda}(t) + 1}{2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} (1)_{a,\lambda} \frac{t^a}{a!}, \tag{3.6}$$

by Theorem 2.2 and (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,l} &= \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \frac{e_{\lambda}(t)+1}{e_{\lambda}(t)-1} \left( \frac{\log(1+\lambda t)}{\lambda} \right)^l \middle| x^n \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{e_{\lambda}(t)+1}{2} \frac{t}{e_{\lambda}(t)-1} \middle| \left( \frac{1}{l!} \left( \frac{\log(1+\lambda t)}{\lambda} \right)^l \right) x^n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=l}^n \binom{n}{k} S_{\lambda}^{(1)}(k, l) \left\langle \frac{e_{\lambda}(t)+1}{2} \middle| \left( \frac{t}{e_{\lambda}(t)-1} \right) x^{n-k} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=l}^n \sum_{r=0}^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{r} S_{\lambda}^{(1)}(k, l) \beta_{r,\lambda} \left\langle \frac{e_{\lambda}(t)+1}{2} \middle| x^{n-k-r} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=l}^n \binom{n}{k} S_{\lambda}^{(1)}(k, l) \beta_{n-k,\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=l}^n \sum_{r=0}^{n-k-1} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{r} S_{\lambda}^{(1)}(k, l) \beta_{r,\lambda} (1)_{n-k-r,\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Conversely, assume that  $E_n(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n b_{n,l} \beta_{l,\lambda}(x)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_{n,l} &= \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \frac{\lambda(e^t-1)}{e^{\lambda t}-1} \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t}-1}{\lambda} \right)^l \middle| x^n \right\rangle \\
 &= \left\langle \frac{2}{e_\lambda(t)+1} \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t}-1} \frac{e^t-1}{t} \middle| \left( \frac{1}{l!} \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t}-1}{\lambda} \right)^l \right) x^n \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{k=l}^n \binom{n}{k} S_\lambda^{(2)}(k,l) \left\langle \frac{2}{e_\lambda(t)+1} \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t}-1} \frac{e^t-1}{t} \middle| x^{n-k} \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{k=l}^n \sum_{a=0}^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{a} S_\lambda^{(2)}(k,l) E_{a,\lambda} \left\langle \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t}-1} \frac{e^t-1}{t} \middle| x^{n-k-a} \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{k=l}^n \sum_{a=0}^{n-k} \sum_{b=0}^{n-k-a} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{a} \binom{n-k-a}{b} S_\lambda^{(2)}(k,l) E_{a,\lambda} \lambda^b B_b \left\langle \frac{e^t-1}{t} \middle| x^{n-k-a-b} \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{k=l}^n \sum_{a=0}^{n-k} \sum_{b=0}^{n-k-a} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{a} \binom{n-k-a}{b} \frac{S_\lambda^{(2)}(k,l) E_{a,\lambda} \lambda^b B_b}{n-k-a-b+1},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where  $E_{n,\lambda}^{(r)}$  are the *degenerate Euler numbers of order r* which are defined by the generating function to be

$$\left( \frac{2}{e_\lambda(t)+1} \right)^r = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,\lambda}^{(r)} \frac{t^n}{n!}, \text{ (see [2, 5]).}$$

In the special case  $r = 1$ ,  $E_{n,\lambda} = E_{n,\lambda}^{(1)}$  are called *degenerate Euler numbers*.

By (3.7) and (3.8), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** *For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we have*

$$\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left( \sum_{k=l}^n \binom{n}{k} S_\lambda^{(1)}(k,l) \beta_{n-k,\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=l}^n \sum_{r=0}^{n-k-1} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{r} S_\lambda^{(1)}(k,l) \beta_{r,\lambda}(1)_{n-k-r,\lambda} \right) E_l(x),$$

and

$$E_n(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left( \sum_{k=l}^n \sum_{a=0}^{n-k} \sum_{b=0}^{n-k-a} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{a} \binom{n-k-a}{b} \frac{S_\lambda^{(2)}(k,l) E_{a,\lambda} \lambda^b B_b}{n-k-a-b+1} \right) \beta_{l,\lambda}(x).$$

The *Daehee polynomials* are defined by the generating function to be

$$\frac{\log(1+t)}{t} (1+t)^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \text{ (see [8, 27, 31]).}$$

When  $x = 0$ ,  $D_n = D_n(0)$  are called the *Daehee numbers*. By (1.1) and the definition of the Daehee polynomials, we see that

$$D_n(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{m} D_{n-m} S_1(m,k) x^k \tag{3.9}$$

and the Sheffer sequences of those polynomials are

$$D_n(x) \sim \left( \frac{e^t - 1}{t}, e^t - 1 \right). \tag{3.10}$$

Let  $\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n a_{n,l} D_l(x)$ . Then, by Theorem 2.2 and (3.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,l} &= \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \frac{\frac{e_\lambda(t)-1}{\frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1+\lambda t)}}{\frac{e_\lambda(t)-1}{t}} (e_\lambda(t) - 1)^l \middle| x^n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=l}^n \binom{n}{k} S_{2,\lambda}(k, l) \left\langle \frac{\lambda t}{\log(1 + \lambda t)} \middle| x^{n-k} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=l}^n \binom{n}{k} S_{2,\lambda}(k, l) \lambda^{n-k} b_{n-k}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Conversely, assume that  $D_n(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n b_{n,l} \beta_{l,\lambda}(x)$ . Then, by (1.6), Lemma 2.1 and (3.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n,l} &= \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \frac{\lambda(e^t - 1)}{e^{\lambda t} - 1} \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t} - 1}{\lambda} \right)^l \middle| D_n(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{m} D_{n-m} S_1(m, k) \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \frac{\lambda(e^t - 1)}{e^{\lambda t} - 1} \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t} - 1}{\lambda} \right)^l \middle| x^k \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{m} D_{n-m} S_1(m, k) \left\langle \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t} - 1} \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \middle| \left( \frac{1}{l!} \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t} - 1}{\lambda} \right)^l \right) x^k \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=l}^m \sum_{a=l}^k \binom{n}{m} \binom{k}{a} S_1(m, k) S_\lambda^{(2)}(a, l) \left\langle \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t} - 1} \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \middle| x^{k-a} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=l}^m \sum_{a=l}^k \sum_{b=0}^{k-a} \binom{n}{m} \binom{k}{a} \binom{k-a}{b} S_1(m, k) S_\lambda^{(2)}(a, l) \lambda^{k-a} B_{k-a} \left\langle \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \middle| x^{k-a-b} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=l}^m \sum_{a=l}^k \sum_{b=0}^{k-a} \binom{n}{m} \binom{k}{a} \binom{k-a}{b} \frac{S_1(m, k) S_\lambda^{(2)}(a, l) \lambda^{k-a} B_{k-a}}{k - a - b + 1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

By (3.11) and (3.12), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we have

$$\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left( \sum_{k=l}^n \binom{n}{k} S_{2,\lambda}(k, l) \lambda^{n-k} b_{n-k} \right) D_l(x),$$

and

$$D_n(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left( \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=l}^m \sum_{a=l}^k \sum_{b=0}^{k-a} \binom{n}{m} \binom{k}{a} \binom{k-a}{b} \frac{S_1(m, k) S_\lambda^{(2)}(a, l) \lambda^{k-a} B_{k-a}}{k - a - b + 1} \right) \beta_{l,\lambda}(x).$$

The Changhee polynomials are defined by the generating function to be

$$\frac{2}{2+t}(1+t)^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Ch_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \text{ (see [8, 34]).}$$



In the special case  $x = 0$ ,  $Ch_n = Ch_n(0)$  are called the *Changhee numbers*. By (1.1) and the definition of the Changhee polynomials, the Sheffer sequences of the Changhee polynomials are

$$Ch_n(x) \sim \left( \frac{e^t + 1}{2}, e^t - 1 \right),$$

and

$$Ch_n(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{m} Ch_{n-m} S_1(m, k) x^k. \tag{3.13}$$

Let  $\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n a_{n,l} Ch_l(x)$ . Since

$$\frac{e_\lambda(t) + 1}{2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1)_{n,\lambda} \frac{t^n}{n!}, \tag{3.14}$$

by (1.1), Theorem 2.2 and (3.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,l} &= \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \frac{\frac{e_\lambda(t)+1}{2}}{\frac{e_\lambda(t)-1}{t}} (e_\lambda(t) - 1)^l \middle| x^n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=l}^n \binom{n}{m} S_2(m, l) \left\langle \frac{t}{e_\lambda(t) - 1} \frac{e_\lambda(t) + 1}{2} \middle| x^{n-m} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=l}^n \sum_{r=0}^{n-m} \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-m}{r} S_2(m, l) \beta_{r,\lambda} \left\langle \frac{e_\lambda(t) + 1}{2} \middle| x^{n-m-r} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=l}^n \binom{n}{m} S_2(m, l) \beta_{n-m,\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=l}^n \sum_{r=0}^{n-m-1} \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-m}{r} S_2(m, l) \beta_{r,\lambda} (1)_{n-m-r,\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Conversely, assume that  $Ch_n(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n b_{n,l} \beta_{l,\lambda}$ . Then, by (1.6) and (3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n,l} &= \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \frac{\lambda(e^t - 1)}{e^{\lambda t} - 1} \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t} - 1}{\lambda} \right)^l \middle| Ch_n(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{m} Ch_{n-m} S_1(m, k) \left\langle \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t} - 1} \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \middle| \left( \frac{1}{l!} \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t} - 1}{\lambda} \right)^l \right) x^k \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=l}^m \sum_{a=l}^k \binom{n}{m} \binom{k}{a} Ch_{n-m} S_1(m, k) S_\lambda^{(2)}(a, l) \left\langle \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t} - 1} \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \middle| x^{k-a} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=l}^m \sum_{a=l}^k \sum_{b=0}^{k-a} \binom{n}{m} \binom{k}{a} \binom{k-a}{b} Ch_{n-m} S_1(m, k) S_\lambda^{(2)}(a, l) \lambda^b B_b \left\langle \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \middle| x^{k-a-b} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=l}^m \sum_{a=l}^k \sum_{b=0}^{k-a} \binom{n}{m} \binom{k}{a} \binom{k-a}{b} \frac{Ch_{n-m} S_1(m, k) S_\lambda^{(2)}(a, l) \lambda^b B_b}{k - a - b + 1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

By (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ , we have

$$\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left( \sum_{m=l}^n \binom{n}{m} S_2(m, l) \beta_{n-m,\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=l}^n \sum_{r=0}^{n-m-1} \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-m}{r} S_2(m, l) \beta_{r,\lambda} (1)_{n-m-r,\lambda} \right) Ch_l(x),$$

and

$$Ch_n(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left( \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=l}^m \sum_{a=l}^k \sum_{b=0}^{k-a} \binom{n}{m} \binom{k}{a} \binom{k-a}{b} \frac{Ch_{n-m} S_1(m, k) S_\lambda^{(2)}(a, l) \lambda^b B_b}{k-a-b+1} \right) \beta_{l,\lambda}(x).$$

The Bell polynomials are defined by the generating function to be

$$e^{x(e^t-1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Bel_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \text{ (see [14, 19]).}$$

When  $x = 1$ ,  $Bel_n = Bel_n(1)$  are called the Bell numbers. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{l!} \left( \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1 + \lambda t) \right) \right)^l &= \sum_{m=l}^{\infty} S_1(m, l) \frac{1}{m!} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1 + \lambda t) \right)^m \\ &= \sum_{n=l}^{\infty} \sum_{m=l}^n S_1(m, l) S_\lambda^{(1)}(n, m) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

and by the definition of the Sheffer sequences of the Bell polynomials are

$$Bel_n(x) \sim (1, \log(1 + t)). \tag{3.18}$$

Let  $\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n a_{n,l} Bel_l(x)$ . Then by Theorem 2.2, (3.17) and (3.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,l} &= \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \frac{1}{e_\lambda(t)-1} \left( \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1 + \lambda t) \right) \right)^l \middle| x^n \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{t}{e_\lambda(t)-1} \middle| \left( \frac{1}{l!} \left( \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1 + \lambda t) \right) \right)^l \right) x^n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{r=l}^n \sum_{m=l}^r \binom{n}{r} S_1(m, l) S_\lambda^{(1)}(r, m) \left\langle \frac{t}{e_\lambda(t)-1} \middle| x^{n-r} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{r=l}^n \sum_{m=l}^r \binom{n}{r} S_1(m, l) S_\lambda^{(1)}(r, m) \beta_{n-r}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Conversely, assume that  $Bel_n(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n a_{n,l} \beta_{l,\lambda}(x)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Bel_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} &= e^{x(e^t-1)} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x^m \frac{1}{m!} (e^t - 1)^m \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^n S_2(n, m) x^m \frac{t^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$Bel_n(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n S_2(n, m) x^m. \tag{3.20}$$

By (3.20), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_{n,l} &= \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \frac{\lambda(e^t - 1)}{e^{\lambda t} - 1} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} (e^{\lambda t} - 1) \right)^l \middle| Bel_n(x) \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^n S_2(n, m) \left\langle \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t} - 1} \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \left( \frac{1}{l!} \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t} - 1}{\lambda} \right)^l \right) x^m \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{a=l}^m \binom{m}{a} S_2(n, m) S_\lambda^{(2)}(a, l) \left\langle \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t} - 1} \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \middle| x^{m-a} \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{a=l}^m \sum_{b=0}^{m-a} \binom{m}{a} \binom{m-a}{b} S_2(n, m) S_\lambda^{(2)}(a, l) \lambda^b B_b \left\langle \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \middle| x^{m-a-b} \right\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{a=l}^m \sum_{b=0}^{m-a} \binom{m}{a} \binom{m-a}{b} \frac{S_2(n, m) S_\lambda^{(2)}(a, l) \lambda^b B_b}{m - a - b + 1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

By (3.19) and (3.21), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.5.** *For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we have*

$$\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left( \sum_{r=l}^n \sum_{m=l}^r \binom{n}{r} S_1(m, l) S_\lambda^{(1)}(r, m) \beta_{n-r} \right) Bel_l(x),$$

and

$$Bel_n(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left( \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{a=l}^m \sum_{b=0}^{m-a} \binom{m}{a} \binom{m-a}{b} \frac{S_2(n, m) S_\lambda^{(2)}(a, l) \lambda^b B_b}{m - a - b + 1} \right) \beta_{l,\lambda}(x).$$

The unsigned Lah number  $L(n, k)$  has the explicit formula

$$L(n, k) = \binom{n-1}{k-1} \frac{n!}{k!} \text{ and } \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{t}{1-t} \right)^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} L(n, k) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \text{ (see [14, 17, 38]).} \tag{3.22}$$

The Lah-Bell polynomials are defined by the generating function to be

$$e^{\frac{x t}{1-t}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^L(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \text{ (see [14, 17, 23]).}$$

In the special case  $x = 1$ ,  $B_n^L = B_n^L(1)$  are called the Lah-Bell numbers. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{x \frac{t}{1-t}} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \frac{1}{n!} \left( \frac{t}{1-t} \right)^n \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^m x^n L(m, n) \frac{t^m}{m!},
 \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$B_n^L(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n L(n, m) x^m. \tag{3.23}$$

Let  $\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n a_{n,l} B_l^L(x)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{t}{1+t}\right)^l &= t^l(1+t)^{-l} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r \langle l \rangle_r \frac{t^{r+l}}{r!}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

and

$$B_n^L(x) \sim \left(1, \frac{t}{1+t}\right),$$

by Theorem 2.2, (3.2), (3.23) and (3.24), we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,l} &= \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \left(\frac{t}{1+t}\right)^l \middle| \beta_{n,\lambda}(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{m} S_{\lambda}^{(1)}(m, k) \beta_{n-m,\lambda} \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \left(\frac{t}{1+t}\right)^l \middle| x^k \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{m} \binom{k}{l} (-1)^{k-l} \langle 1 \rangle_{k-l} S_{\lambda}^{(1)}(m, k) \beta_{n-m,\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Conversely, assume that  $B_n^L(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n b_{n,l} \beta_{l,\lambda}(x)$ . By (1.6) and (3.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n,l} &= \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \frac{\lambda(e^t - 1)}{e^{\lambda t} - 1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} (e^{\lambda t} - 1)\right)^l \middle| B_n^L(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n L(n, m) \left\langle \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t} - 1} \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \middle| \left(\frac{1}{l!} \left(\frac{e^{\lambda t} - 1}{\lambda}\right)^l\right) x^m \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{a=l}^m \binom{m}{a} L(n, m) S_{\lambda}^{(2)}(a, l) \left\langle \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t} - 1} \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \middle| x^{m-a} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{a=l}^m \sum_{b=0}^{m-a} \binom{m}{a} \binom{m-a}{b} L(n, m) S_{\lambda}^{(2)}(a, l) \lambda^b B_b \left\langle \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \middle| x^{m-a-b} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{a=l}^m \sum_{b=0}^{m-a} \binom{m}{a} \binom{m-a}{b} \frac{L(n, m) S_{\lambda}^{(2)}(a, l) \lambda^b B_b}{m - a - b + 1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

By the (3.25) and (3.26), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.6.** *For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we have*

$$\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left( \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{m} \binom{k}{l} (-1)^{k-l} \langle 1 \rangle_{k-l} S_{\lambda}^{(1)}(m, k) \beta_{n-m,\lambda} \right) B_l^L(x),$$

and

$$B_n^L(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left( \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{a=l}^m \sum_{b=0}^{m-a} \binom{m}{a} \binom{m-a}{b} \frac{L(n, m) S_{\lambda}^{(2)}(a, l) \lambda^b B_b}{m - a - b + 1} \right) \beta_{l,\lambda}(x).$$

The *Frobenius-Euler polynomials of order r* are defined by the generating function to be

$$\left(\frac{1-u}{e^t-u}\right)^r e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n^{(r)}(x|u) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \text{ (see [9, 16]).}$$

In the special case  $x = 0$ ,  $H_n^{(r)}(u) = H_n^{(r)}(0|u)$  are called the *Frobenius-Euler numbers of order r*. Note that

$$H_n^{(r)}(x|u) \sim \left( \left( \frac{e^t-u}{1-u} \right)^r, t \right),$$

and

$$(e_\lambda(t) - u)^r = \sum_{b=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^r \binom{r}{a} (-u)^{r-a} (a)_{b,\lambda} \frac{t^b}{b!}. \tag{3.27}$$

Let  $\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n a_{n,l} H_l^{(r)}(x|u)$ . By Theorem 2.2 and (3.27), we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,l} &= \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \left( \frac{e_\lambda(t)-u}{1-u} \right)^r \left( \frac{\log(1+\lambda t)}{\lambda} \right)^l \middle| x^n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=l}^n \binom{n}{m} S_\lambda^{(1)}(m, l) \left\langle \frac{t}{e_\lambda(t)-1} \left( \frac{e_\lambda(t)-u}{1-u} \right)^r \middle| x^{n-m} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=l}^n \sum_{a=0}^{n-m} \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-m}{a} \frac{S_\lambda^{(1)}(m, l) \beta_{a,\lambda}}{(1-u)^r} \langle (e_\lambda(t)-u)^r | x^{n-m-a} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=l}^n \sum_{a=0}^{n-m} \sum_{b=0}^r \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-m}{a} \binom{r}{b} \frac{S_\lambda^{(1)}(m, l) \beta_{a,\lambda} (-u)^{r-b} (b)_{n-m-a,\lambda}}{(1-u)^r}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Conversely, assume that  $H_n^{(r)}(x|u) = \sum_{l=0}^n b_{n,l} \beta_{l,\lambda}(x)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n,l} &= \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \frac{\lambda(e^t-1)}{e^{\lambda t}-1} \left( \frac{e^t-1}{\lambda} \right)^l \middle| x^n \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \left( \frac{1-u}{e^t-u} \right)^r \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t}-1} \frac{e^t-1}{t} \middle| \left( \frac{1}{l!} \left( \frac{e^t-1}{\lambda} \right)^l \right) x^n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=l}^n \binom{n}{m} S_\lambda^{(2)}(m, l) \left\langle \left( \frac{1-u}{e^t-u} \right)^r \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t}-1} \frac{e^t-1}{t} \middle| x^{n-m} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=l}^n \sum_{a=0}^{n-m} \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-m}{a} S_\lambda^{(2)}(m, l) H_a^{(r)}(u) \left\langle \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t}-1} \frac{e^t-1}{t} \middle| x^{n-m-a} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=l}^n \sum_{a=0}^{n-m} \sum_{b=0}^{n-m-a} \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-m}{a} \binom{n-m-a}{b} S_\lambda^{(2)}(m, l) H_a^{(r)}(u) \lambda^b B_b \left\langle \frac{e^t-1}{t} \middle| x^{n-m-a-b} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=l}^n \sum_{a=0}^{n-m} \sum_{b=0}^{n-m-a} \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-m}{a} \binom{n-m-a}{b} \frac{S_\lambda^{(2)}(m, l) H_a^{(r)}(u) \lambda^b B_b}{n-m-a-b+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

By (3.28) and (3.29), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.7.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we have

$$\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left( \sum_{m=l}^n \sum_{a=0}^{n-m} \sum_{b=0}^r \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-m}{a} \binom{r}{b} \frac{S_\lambda^{(1)}(m,l)\beta_{a,\lambda}(-u)^{r-b} \binom{n-m-a,\lambda}{(1-u)^r} \right) H_l^{(r)}(x|u),$$

and

$$H_n^{(r)}(x|u) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left( \sum_{m=l}^n \sum_{a=0}^{n-m} \sum_{b=0}^r \binom{n}{m} \binom{n-m}{a} \binom{n-m-a}{b} \frac{S_\lambda^{(2)}(m,l)H_a^{(r)}(u)\lambda^b B_b}{n-m-a-b+1} \right) \beta_{l,\lambda}(x).$$

The Mittag-Leffer polynomials are defined by the generating function to be

$$\left( \frac{1+t}{1-t} \right)^x = \sum_{n=0}^\infty M_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \text{ (see [20]).}$$

When  $x = 1$ ,  $M_n = M_n(1)$  are called the Mittag-Leffer numbers. By the definition of Mittag-Leffer polynomials, we see that

$$M_n(x) \sim \left( 1, \frac{e^t - 1}{e^t + 1} \right).$$

Let  $\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n a_{n,l} M_l(x)$ . By (1.6) and Theorem 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,l} &= \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \frac{1}{\frac{e_\lambda(t)-1}{t}} \left( \frac{e_\lambda(t)-1}{e_\lambda(t)+1} \right)^l \middle| x^n \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^l} \left\langle \frac{t}{e_\lambda(t)-1} \left( \frac{2}{e_\lambda(t)+1} \right)^l \middle| \left( \frac{1}{l!} (e_\lambda(t)-1)^l \right) x^n \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2^l} \sum_{k=l}^n \binom{n}{k} S_{2,\lambda}(k,l) \left\langle \frac{t}{e_\lambda(t)-1} \left( \frac{2}{e_\lambda(t)+1} \right)^l \middle| x^{n-k} \right\rangle \tag{3.30} \\ &= \sum_{k=l}^n \sum_{r=0}^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{r} \frac{S_{2,\lambda}(k,l)\beta_{r,\lambda}}{2^l} \left\langle \left( \frac{2}{e_\lambda(t)+1} \right)^l \middle| x^{n-k-r} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=l}^n \sum_{r=0}^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{r} \frac{S_{2,\lambda}(k,l)\beta_{r,\lambda}E_{n-r-k,\lambda}^{(l)}}{2^l}. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that  $M_n(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n b_{n,l}\beta_{l,\lambda}(x)$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{1+t}{1-t} \right)^x &= \left( 1 + \frac{2t}{1-t} \right)^x = \sum_{m=0}^\infty (x)_m 2^m \frac{1}{m!} \left( \frac{t}{1-t} \right)^m \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^\infty (x)_m 2^m \sum_{r=m}^\infty L(r,m) \frac{t^r}{r!} \\ &= \sum_{a=0}^\infty \sum_{m=0}^a (x)_m 2^m L(a,m) \frac{t^a}{a!} \\ &= \sum_{a=0}^\infty \sum_{m=0}^a \sum_{r=0}^m 2^m L(a,m) S_1(m,r) x^r \frac{t^a}{a!}, \end{aligned}$$

and so we see that

$$M_n(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{r=0}^m 2^m L(n, m) S_1(m, r) x^r. \tag{3.31}$$

By (1.6) and (3.31), we get

$$\begin{aligned} b_{n,l} &= \frac{1}{l!} \left\langle \frac{\lambda(e^t - 1)}{e^{\lambda t} - 1} \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t} - 1}{\lambda} \right)^l \middle| M_n(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{r=0}^m 2^m S_1(m, r) L(n, m) \left\langle \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t} - 1} \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \middle| \left( \frac{1}{l!} \left( \frac{e^{\lambda t} - 1}{\lambda} \right)^l \right) x^r \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{a=l}^r \binom{r}{a} 2^m S_1(m, r) L(n, m) \left\langle \frac{\lambda t}{e^{\lambda t} - 1} \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \middle| x^{r-a} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{a=l}^r \sum_{b=0}^{r-a} \binom{r}{a} \binom{r-a}{b} 2^m S_1(m, r) L(n, m) \lambda^b B_b \left\langle \frac{e^t - 1}{t} \middle| x^{r-a-b} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{a=l}^r \sum_{b=0}^{r-a} \binom{r}{a} \binom{r-a}{b} \frac{2^m S_1(m, r) L(n, m) \lambda^b B_b}{r - a - b + 1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

By (3.30) and (3.32), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.8.** *For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we have*

$$\beta_{n,\lambda}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left( \sum_{k=l}^n \sum_{r=0}^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{r} \frac{S_{2,\lambda}(k, l) \beta_{r,\lambda} E_{n-r-k,\lambda}^{(l)}}{2^l} \right) M_l(x),$$

and

$$M_n(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \left( \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{a=l}^r \sum_{b=0}^{r-a} \binom{r}{a} \binom{r-a}{b} \frac{2^m S_1(m, r) L(n, m) \lambda^b B_b}{r - a - b + 1} \right) \beta_{l,\lambda}(x).$$

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The umbral calculus which was built by G. C. Rota with a completely rigid foundation for theories based on modern ideas of linear functions, linear operators, and adjacency functions are one of the important and useful tools for studying the relationship between special functions. In addition, umbral calculus is still being actively used by many researchers.

In this paper, when the  $n$ th degenerate Bernoulli polynomials are expressed as a linear combination of special polynomials of order  $n$  or less, especially Bernoulli polynomials, Euler polynomials, Bernoulli polynomials of the second kind, Dae-hee polynomials, Changhee polynomials, Bell polynomials, Lah-Bell polynomials, Frobenius-Euler polynomials, Mittag-Leffer polynomials, the relationships between special polynomials are investigated by finding the coefficients.

A study to find the relationship between special functions by applying these methods to other special polynomials will be conducted in the future.

## 5. FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) NRF-2020R1F1A1A01075658

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ait-Amrange and D. Behloul, *Generalized hyper-Lucas numbers and applications*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **53** (2022), no. 1, 62-57.
- [2] H. Alzer and S. Yakubovich, *Identities involving Bernoulli and Euler polynomials*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., **29**, (2018), no. 1, 43-61.
- [3] T. Arakawa, T. Ibukiyama and M. Kaneko, *Bernoulli numbers and zeta functions*, Springer, Tokyo, 2014.
- [4] M. S. Aydin, M. Acikgoz and S. Araci, *A new construction on the degenerate Hurwitz-zeta function associated with certain applications*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc., **25**, no. 2, (2022), 195-203.
- [5] A. Bayad and Y. Hamahata, *Polylogarithms and poly-Bernoulli polynomials*, Kyushu J. Math., **65**(1), 15-24 (2019).
- [6] L. Carlitz, *Degenerate Stirling, Bernoulli and Eulerian numbers*, Util. Math., **15**, (1979), 51-88.
- [7] K. Chakraborty and T. Komatsu, *Generalized hypergeometric Bernoulli numbers*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM, **115** (2021), no. 3, paper no. 101, 14pp.
- [8] Y. K. Cho, T. Kim, T. Mansour and S. H. Rim, *On a  $(r, s)$ -analogue of Changhee and Daehee numbers and polynomials*, Kyungpook Math. J., **55**, (2015), no. 2, 225-232.
- [9] J. Choi, D. S. Kim, T. Kim and Y. H. Kim, *A note on some identities of Frobenius-Euler numbers and polynomials*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2012, Art. ID 861797, 9 pp.
- [10] L. Comtet, *Advanced combinatorics: The art of finite and infinite expansions*, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, 1974.
- [11] R. Dere and Y. Simsek, *Applications of umbral algebra to some special polynomials*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. (Kyungshang), **22**, no. 3, (2012), 433-438.
- [12] W. A. Khan, J. Younis and M. Nadeem, *Construction of partially degenerate Laguerre-Bernoulli polynomials of the first kind*, Appl. Math. Sci. Eng., **30**, (2022), no. 1, Paper no. 362-375.
- [13] T. Kim, *A note on degenerate Stirling polynomials of the second kind*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc., **20**, no. 3, (2017), 319-331.
- [14] H. K. Kim, *Degenerate Lah-Bell polynomials arising from degenerate Sheffer sequences*, Adv. Differ. Equ., **2020**, paper no. 687, 16pp.
- [15] D. S. Kim and T. Kim, *Some identities of Bernoulli and Euler polynomials arising from umbral calculus* Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. (Kyungshang), **23**, no. 1, (2013) 159-171.
- [16] T. Kim and D. S. Kim, *An identity of symmetry for the degenerate Frobenius-Euler polynomials* Math. Slovaca, **68**(1), (2018), 239-243.
- [17] D. S. Kim and T. Kim, *Lah-Bell numbers and polynomials*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc., **23**, no. 4, (2020), 577-586.
- [18] T. Kim and D. S. Kim, *Some identities on  $\lambda$ -analogue of  $r$ -Stirling numbers of the first kind*, Filomat, **34** (2020), no. 2, 451-460.
- [19] T. Kim and D. S. Kim, *Degenerate polyexponential functions and degenerate Bell polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **487** (2020), no. 2, 124017.
- [20] D. S. Kim and T. Kim, *Degenerate Sheffer sequences and  $\lambda$ -Sheffer sequences*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **493** (2021), 124521.
- [21] T. Kim and D. S. Kim, *Degenerate  $r$ -Whitney numbers and degenerate  $r$ -Dowling polynomials via boson operators*, Adv. in Appl. Math., **140**, (2022), Paper no. 102394.
- [22] D. S. Kim, T. Kim and D. V. Dolgy, *A note on degenerate Bernoulli numbers and polynomials associated with  $p$ -adic invariant integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$* , Appl. Math. Comput., **259**, (2015), 198-204.
- [23] T. Kim, D. S. Kim, D. V. Dolgy and J. W. Park, *Degenerate binomial and Poisson random variables associated with degenerate Lah-Bell polynomials*, Open Math., **19**, (2021), no. 1, 1588-1597.



- [24] T. Kim, D. S. Kim, D. V. Dolgy and J. J. Seo, *Bernoulli polynomials of the second kind and their identities arising from umbral calculus*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **9**, (2015), no. 3, 860-869.
- [25] D. S. Kim, T. Kim and G. W. Jang, *A note on degenerate Stirling polynomials of the first kind*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc., **21**, no. 3, (2018), 393-404.
- [26] D. S. Kim, H. K. Kim and T. Kim, *Some identities on  $\lambda$ -analogue of  $r$ -Stirling numbers of the second kind*, arXiv:2205.14805.
- [27] D. S. Kim, T. Kim and J. J. Seo, *Higher-order Daehee polynomials of the first kind with umbral calculus*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. (Kyungshang), **24**, (2014), no. 1, 5-18.
- [28] T. Kim and T. Mansour, *Umbral calculus associated with Frobenius-type Eulerian polynomials*, Russ. J. Math. Phys., **21** (2014), no. 4, 484-493.
- [29] T. Komatsu and P. T. Young, *Convolutions of generalized Stirling numbers and degenerate Bernoulli polynomials*, Fibonacci Quart., **58**, (2020), 361-366.
- [30] G. Y. Lee and J. S. Kim, *The linear algebra of the  $k$ -Fibonacci matrix*, Linear Alg. Appl., **373** (2003), 75-87.
- [31] D. Lim, *Degenerate, partially degenerate and totally degenerate Daehee numbers and polynomials* Adv. Difference Equ., **2015**, 2015:287, 14 pp.
- [32] K. S. Nisar, *Umbral calculus*, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, 2012.
- [33] J. Quaintance and H. W. Gould, *Combinatorial identities for Stirling numbers. The unpublished notes of H. W. Gould. With a foreword by George E. Andrews*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore, 2016.
- [34] S. H. Rim, J. W. Park, S. S. pyo and J. Kwon, *The  $n$ -th twisted Changhee polynomials and numbers*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **52**, (2015), no. 3, 741-749.
- [35] S. Roman, *The umbral calculus*, Dover Publ. Inc. New York, 2005.
- [36] G. C. Rota and B. D. Taylor, *The classical umbral calculus*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **25** (1994), no. 2, 694-711.
- [37] C. S. Ryoo, *Differential equations associated with the generalized Euler polynomials of the second kind*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., **24**, (2018), no. 4, 711-716.
- [38] S. Tauber, *Lah Numbers for Fibonacci and Lucas Polynomials*, Fibonacci Quart., **6**, no. 5, (1968), 93-99.
- [39] U. Duran, M. Acikgoz and S. Araci, *Construction of the type 2 poly-Frobenius-Genocchi polynomials with their certain applications*, Adv. Difference Equ., **2020**, paper no. 432, 14pp.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, DAEGU UNIVERSITY, 38453, REPUBLIC OF KOREA.  
Email address: a0417001@daegu.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, SILLA UNIVERSITY, BUSAN 46958, REPUBLIC OF KOREA  
Email address: ssoopyo@gmail.com