

FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN MENGER SPACE USING THE NOTION OF CLR AND JCLR PROPERTY

Z. K. ANSARI, PAWAN KUMAR*, AND BALBIR SINGH

ABSTRACT. Employing the common property (E.A), we prove some common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in Menger space using the notion of CLR and JCLR property. Some results on similar lines satisfying quasi contraction condition. Our results substantially further generalization the corresponding theorems contained in (Imdad et al. [8]).

2010 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION. 47H10, 54H25.

KEYWORDS AND PHRASES. Menger space, Compatible mappings, weakly compatible mappings, CLR property and JCLR property.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of probabilistic metric space as a generalization of metric space was introduced by Menger [13]. In Menger theory, the notion of probabilistic metric space corresponds to situations when we do not know exactly the distance between two points, but we know probabilities of possible values of this distance. In this note he explained how to replace the numerical distance between two points p and q by a function $F(p, q, t)$ whose value $F(p, q, t)$ at the real number t is interpreted as the probability that the distance between p and q is less than t . In fact the study of such spaces received an impetus with the pioneering work of Schweizer and Sklar [17]. The theory of probabilistic metric space is of paramount importance in Probabilistic Functional Analysis especially due to its extensive applications in random differential as well as random integral equations.

Now, we give preliminaries and basic definitions in Menger space which are useful in this paper.

Definition 1.1 ([17]). *A mapping $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is called distribution function if it is non decreasing and left continuous with $\inf\{F(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}^+\} = 0$ and $\sup\{F(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}^+\} = 1$. We will denote the set of all distribution functions by \mathcal{L} .*

Let \mathcal{L} be the set of all distribution functions whereas H be the set of specific distribution function (also known as Heaviside function) defined by

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \leq 0 \\ 1, & \text{if } t > 0. \end{cases}$$

*Corresponding Author

Definition 1.2 ([13]). A probabilistic metric space is a pair (K, F) , where K is a nonempty set and $F : K \times K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is a mapping satisfying the following:

For all $p, q, r \in K$ and $t, s \geq 0$,

- (p₁) $F(p, q, t) = 1$ if and only if $p = q$;
- (p₂) $F(p, q, 0) = 0$;
- (p₃) $F(p, q, t) = F(q, p, t)$;
- (p₄) $F(p, q, t) = 1$ and $F(q, r, s) = 1$, then $F(p, r, (t + s)) = 1$.

Every metric space (K, d) can always be realized as a Probabilistic metric space by $F(p, q, t) = H(t - d(p, q))$, for all $p, q \in K$, where H be the set of specific distribution function (also known as Heaviside function) defined in [17, Definition 1.1].

Probabilistic metric space offers a wider framework than that of the metric space and cover even wider statistical situations.

Definition 1.3 ([17]). A mapping $\Delta : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a t -norm if for all $a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1 \in [0, 1]$,

- (1) $\Delta(a_1, 1) = a_1, \Delta(0, 0) = 0$;
- (2) $\Delta(a_1, b_1) = \Delta(b_1, a_1)$;
- (3) $\Delta(c_1, d_1) \geq \Delta(a_1, b_1)$ for $c_1 \geq a_1, d_1 \geq b_1$;
- (4) $\Delta(\Delta(a_1, b_1), c_1) = \Delta(a_1, \Delta(b_1, c_1))$.

Example 1.1. The following are the four basict-norms:

- (i) The minimum t -norm: $\Delta_M(a_1, b_1) = \min\{a_1, b_1\}$.
- (ii) The product t -norm: $\Delta_P(a_1, b_1) = a_1 b_1$.
- (iii) The Lukasiewicz t -norm: $\Delta_L(a_1, b_1) = \max\{a_1 + b_1 - 1, 0\}$.
- (iv) The weakest t -norm, the drastic product:

$$\Delta_D(a_1, b_1) = \begin{cases} \min\{a_1, b_1\} & \text{if } \max\{a_1, b_1\} = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We have the following ordering in the above stated norms:

$$\Delta_D < \Delta_L < \Delta_P < \Delta_M.$$

Definition 1.4 ([13]). A Menger space is a triplet (K, F, Δ) , where (K, F) is a probabilistic metric space and Δ is a t -norm with the following condition: For all $p, q, r \in K$ and $t, s \geq 0$,

- (p₅) $F(p, r, (t + s)) \geq \Delta(F(p, q, t), F(q, r, s))$.

Example 1.2. Let $K = \mathbb{R}$, $\Delta(a_1, b_1) = \min(a_1, b_1)$, for all $a_1, b_1 \in [0, 1]$ and

$$F(p, q, t) = \begin{cases} H(t), & \text{if } p \neq q \\ 1, & \text{if } p = q \end{cases}; \quad \text{where } H(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \leq 0 \\ 1, & \text{if } t > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then (K, F, Δ) is a Menger space.

Definition 1.5. A sequence $\{p_n\}$ in Menger space (K, F, Δ) is said to be:

- (i) Convergent at a point $p \in K$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists a positive integer $N_{\epsilon, \lambda}$ such that $F(p_n, p, \epsilon) > 1$ for all $n = N_{\epsilon, \lambda}$.

- (ii) *Cauchy sequence in K if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists a positive integer $N_{\epsilon,\lambda}$ such that $F(p_n, p_m, \epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ for all $n, m \geq N_{\epsilon,\lambda}$.*
- (iii) *Complete if every Cauchy sequence in K is convergent in K .*

In 1996, Jungck [11] introduce the notion of weakly commuting mappings.

Definition 1.6 ([11]). *Two self-mapping f_1 and g_1 of a Menger space (K, F, Δ) are said to be weakly commuting if $F(f_1g_1p, g_1f_1p, t) \geq F(f_1p, g_1p, t)$ for each $p \in K$ and for each $t > 0$.*

In 1982, Sessa [18] weakened the concept of commutativity to weakly commuting mappings. Afterwards, Jungck [12] enlarged the concept of weakly commuting mappings to compatible mappings. In 1991, Mishra [14] introduced the notion of compatible mappings in the setting of probabilistic metric space.

Definition 1.7 ([14]). *Let (K, F, Δ) be a Menger space such that the t -norm Δ is continuous and f_1, g_1 be mappings from K into itself. Then f_1 and g_1 are said to be compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(f_1g_1p_n, g_1f_1p_n, t) = 1$, whenever $\{p_n\}$ is a sequence in K such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_1p_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_1p_n = u_1$ for some $u_1 \in K$, and for all $t > 0$.*

Definition 1.8. *Two self mappings f_1 and g_1 on a Menger space (K, F, Δ) are said to be non-compatible if either $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(f_1g_1p_n, g_1f_1p_n, t)$ is non-existent or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(f_1g_1p_n, g_1f_1p_n, t) \neq 1$, whenever $\{p_n\}$ is a sequence in K such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_1p_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_1p_n = u_1$ for some $u_1 \in K$ and for all $t > 0$.*

Further, Singh and Jain [19] proved some fixed point theorems for weakly compatible maps in the setting of Menger space.

Definition 1.9 ([19]). *Two maps f_1 and g_1 are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points i.e., if $f_1p = g_1p$ for some $p \in K$, then $f_1g_1p = g_1f_1p$.*

Definition 1.10 ([1]). *A pair of self-mappings (f_1, g_1) on a Menger space (K, F, Δ) are said to satisfy the property (E.A) if there exists a sequence $\{p_n\}$ in K such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(f_1p_n, u_1, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(g_1p_n, u_1, t) = 1,$$

for some $u_1 \in K$ and for all $t > 0$.

Remark 1.1. *It is easy to see that two non-compatible self-mappings of a Menger space satisfy the property (E.A), but the converse is not true in general.*

Definition 1.11 ([5]). *The pairs (A, S) and (B, T) on a Menger space (K, F, Δ) are said to satisfy the common property (E.A) if there exists two sequence $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ in K such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ap_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sp_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bq_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tq_n = u_1, \text{ for some } u_1 \in K.$$

If $B = A$ and $T = S$ in the definition we get the definition of the property (E.A).

Definition 1.12 ([6]). A pair of self-mappings A and S of a Menger space (K, F, Δ) is said to satisfy the common limit range property with respect to the mapping S (briefly CLR $_S$ property), if there exists a sequence $\{p_n\}$ in K such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(Ap_n, u_1, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(Sp_n, u_1, t) = 1,$$

for some $u_1 \in S(K)$ and for all $t > 0$.

Now we give an example of self-mappings A and S satisfying the CLR $_S$ property (see [2]).

Example 1.3. Let (K, F, Δ) be a Menger space with $K = [0, \infty)$ and for all $p, q \in K$ by $F(p, q, t) = H(t - |p - q|)$, $t > 0$ and $F(p, q, 0) = 0$ where $\Delta(a_1, b_1) = \min\{a_1, b_1\}$ for all $a_1, b_1 \in [0, 1]$. Define self-mappings A and S on K by $Ap = p + 3$, $Sp = 4p$. Let a sequence $\{p_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in K .

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ap_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sp_n = 4$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(Ap_n, 4, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(Sp_n, 4, t) = 1,$$

where $4 \in K$. Therefore, the mappings A and S satisfy the CLR $_S$ property.

Remark 1.2. From the Example 1.3, it is clear that a pair (A, S) satisfying the property (E.A) property with the closeness of the subspace $S(K)$ always verify the CLR $_S$ property.

Definition 1.13 ([5]). The pairs (A, S) and (B, T) on a Menger space (K, F, Δ) are said to satisfy common limit range property with respect to mappings S and T (briefly CLR $_{ST}$ property) if there exists two sequence $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ in K such that for all $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(Ap_n, u_1, t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(Sp_n, u_1, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(Bq_n, u_1, t) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(Tq_n, u_1, t) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_1 \in S(K) \cap T(K)$.

Remark 1.3. If $B = A$ and $T = S$ in the definition we get the definition of CLR $_S$ property.

Remark 1.4. The CLR $_{ST}$ property implies the common property (E.A), but the converse is not true in general (see [5]).

Proposition 1.1 ([5]). If the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy the common property (E.A) and $S(K)$ and $T(K)$ are closed subsets of K , then the pairs satisfy also the CLR $_{ST}$ property.

In 2012, Imdad et al. [8] introduce the CLR $_{ST}$ property in Menger space as follows:

Definition 1.14 ([8]). The pairs (A, S) and (B, T) on a Menger space (K, F, Δ) are said to satisfy common limit range property with respect to mappings S and T (briefly CLR $_{ST}$ property) if there exists two sequence $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ in K such that for all $t > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(Ap_n, u_1, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(Sp_n, u_1, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(Bq_n, u_1, t)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(Tq_n, u_1, t) = 1,$$

where $u_1 = Sr = Tr$ for some $r \in K$.

Remark 1.5. If $B = A$ and $T = S$ in the above definition we get the definition of CLR_S property.

Definition 1.15 ([7]). Two families of self-mappings $\{A_i\}$ and $\{S_j\}$ are said to be pair wise commuting if

- (1) $A_i A_j = A_j A_i, i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\},$
- (2) $S_k S_l = S_l S_k, k, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\},$
- (3) $A_i S_k = S_k A_i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$

Lemma 1.2 ([19]). Let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence in a Menger space (K, F, Δ) with continuous t -norm Δ and $\Delta(t, t) \geq t$. If there exists a constant $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$F(p_n, p_{n+1}, kt) \geq F(p_{n-1}, p_n, t)$$

for all $t > 0$ and $n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$ then $\{p_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in K .

Lemma 1.3 ([19]). Let (K, F, Δ) be a Menger space. If there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$F(p, q, kt) \geq F(p, q, t) \text{ for all } p, q \in K \text{ and } t > 0, \text{ then } p = q.$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

Now we prove the main results as follows.

Lemma 2.1. Let A, B, S and T be mappings of a complete Menger space (K, F, Δ) into itself satisfying the following conditions:

- (3.1) the pair (A, S) satisfies the CLR_S property or the pair (B, T) satisfies the CLR_T property,
- (3.2) $A(K) \subseteq T(K), B(K) \subseteq S(K),$
- (3.3) $T(K)$ or $S(K)$ is a closed subset of $K,$
- (3.4) $B(q_n)$ converges for every sequence $\{q_n\}$ in K whenever $T(q_n)$ converges or $A(p_n)$ converges for every sequence $\{p_n\}$ in K whenever $S(p_n)$ converges

$$\begin{aligned}
 (*) \quad & (1 + \alpha F(Sp, Tq, t))F(Ap, Bq, t) \\
 & > \min\{F(Ap, Sp, t)F(Bq, Tq, t), F(Sp, Bq, t)F(Ap, Tq, t)\} \\
 & + \min \left\{ F(Sp, Tq, t), \sup_{t_1+t_2=\frac{2t}{k}} \min\{F(Ap, Sp, t_1), F(Bq, Tq, t_2)\}, \right. \\
 & \left. \sup_{t_3+t_4=2t} \min\{F(Sp, Bq, t_3), F(Ap, Tq, t_4)\} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $p, q \in K, t > 0,$ for some $\alpha \geq 0$ and $1 \leq k < 2.$ Then the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy the CLR_{ST} property.

Proof. Suppose that the pair (A, S) satisfies the CLR_S property and $T(K)$ is closed subset of $K.$ Then there exists a sequence $\{p_n\}$ in K such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ap_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sp_n = r,$$

where $r \in S(K)$.

Since $A(K) \subseteq T(K)$, there exists a sequence $\{q_n\}$ in K such that $A(p_n) = T(q_n)$. So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tq_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ap_n = r,$$

where $r \in S(K) \cap T(K)$.

Thus $Ap_n \rightarrow r$, $Sp_n \rightarrow r$ and $Tq_n \rightarrow r$. Now, we show the $Bq_n \rightarrow r$.

Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(Bq_n, l, t_0) = 1$. Now, we show that $l = r$. Assume that $l \neq r$.

We prove that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad F\left(r, l, \frac{2}{k}t_0\right) > F(r, l, t_0).$$

Suppose the contrary. Therefore for all $t > 0$, we have

$$(2) \quad F\left(r, l, \frac{2}{k}t\right) \leq F(r, l, t).$$

Using repeatedly (2), we obtain

$$F(r, l, t) \geq F\left(r, l, \frac{2}{k}t\right) \geq \dots \geq F\left(r, l, \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^n t\right) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

this shows that $F(r, l, t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$, which contradicts $l \neq r$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that t_0 in (1) is a continuous point of $F(r, l)$. Since every distance distribution function is left-continuous, (1) implies that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that (1) holds for all $t \in (t_0 - \epsilon, t_0)$. Since $F(r, l)$ is non-decreasing, the set of all discontinuous points of $F(r, l)$ is a countable set at most. Thus when t_0 a discontinuous point of $F(r, l)$, we can choose a continuous point t_1 of $F(r, l)$ and $F(r, l)$ in $(t_0 - \epsilon, t_0)$ to replace t_0 .

Using the inequality (*) with $p = p_n$, $q = q_n$, we get for some $t_0 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \alpha F(Sp_n, Tq_n, t_0))F(Ap_n, Bq_n, t_0) \\ & > \alpha \min\{F(Ap_n, Sp_n, t_0)F(Bq_n, Tq_n, t_0), F(Sp_n, Bq_n, t_0)F(Ap_n, Tq_n, t_0)\} \\ & \quad + \min\left\{F(Sp_n, Tq_n, t_0), \min\left\{F(Ap_n, Sp_n, \epsilon), F\left(Bq_n, Tq_n, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right)\right\}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \min\{F(Sp_n, Bq_n, (2t_0 - \epsilon)), F(Ap_n, Tq_n, \epsilon)\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

For all $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{2}{k}t_0)$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F(r, l, t_0) + \alpha F(r, l, t_0) \\ & \geq F(r, l, t_0) + \min\left\{F\left(r, l, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right), F(r, l, (2t_0 - \epsilon))\right\} \end{aligned}$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $F(r, l, t_0) \geq F\left(r, l, \frac{2}{k}t_0\right)$, which contradicts (1). Thus the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy the CLR_{ST} property. \square

Remark 2.1. *The converse of Lemma 2.1 is not true see Example 1.3.*

Theorem 2.2. *Let A, B, S and T be mappings of a complete Menger space (K, F, Δ) into itself satisfying the inequality (*) of Lemma 2.1. If the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy the CLR_{ST} property, the pairs (A, S) and (B, T)*

have coincidence points. Moreover, if (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in K .

Proof. Suppose that the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfies the CLR_{ST} property, there exists two sequences $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ in K such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ap_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sp_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tq_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bq_n = r,$$

where $r \in S(K) \cap T(K)$.

Hence there exists $u_1, v_1 \in K$ such that $Su_1 = Tv_1 = r$.

Now, we show that $Au_1 = Su_1 = r$.

If $Au_1 \neq Su_1$, putting $p = u_1$ and $q = q_n$ in inequality $(*)$, we get for some $t_0 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \alpha F(Su_1, Tq_n, t_0))F(Au_1, Bq_n, t_0) \\ & > \alpha \min\{F(Au_1, Su_1, t_0)F(Bq_n, Tq_n, t_0), F(Su_1, Bq_n, t_0)F(Au_1, Tq_n, t_0)\} \\ & \quad + \min \left\{ F(Su_1, Tq_n, t_0), \min\left\{ F\left(Au_1, Su_1, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon \right) \right), \right. \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \left. F(Bq_n, Tq_n, \epsilon), \min\{F(Su_1, Bq_n, \epsilon), F(Au_1, Tq_n, (2t_0 - \epsilon))\} \right\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \alpha F(r, r, t_0))F(Au_1, r, t_0) \\ & > \alpha \min\{F(Au_1, r, t_0)F(r, r, t_0), F(Su_1, r, t_0)F(Au_1, r, t_0)\} \\ & \quad + \min \left\{ F(Su_1, r, t_0), \min\left\{ F\left(Au_1, r, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon \right) \right), F(r, r, \epsilon), \right. \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \left. \min\{F(r, r, \epsilon), F(Au_1, r, (2t_0 - \epsilon))\} \right\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & F(Au_1, r, t_0) + \alpha F(Au_1, r, t_0) \\ & \geq \alpha F(Au_1, r, t_0) + \min \left\{ F\left(Ar, r, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon \right) \right), F(Ar, r, (2t_0 - \epsilon)) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{2}{k}t_0)$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $F(r, l, t_0) \geq F(r, l, \frac{2}{k}t_0)$, which contradicts (1) and so $Au_1 = Su_1 = r$. Therefore, u_1 is a coincidence point of the pair (A, S) .

Now, we assert that $Bv_1 = Tv_1 = r$.

If $r \neq Bv_1$, putting $p = u_1$ and $q = v_1$ in the inequality $(*)$, we get for some $t_0 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \alpha F(Su_1, Tv_1, t_0))F(Au_1, Tv_1, t_0) \\ & > \alpha \min\{F(Au_1, Su_1, t_0)F(Bv_1, Tv_1, t_0), F(Su_1, Bv_1, t_0)F(Au_1, Tv_1, t_0)\} \\ & \quad + \min \left\{ F(Su_1, Tv_1, t_0), \min\left\{ F(Au_1, Sv_1, \epsilon), F\left(Bv_1, Tv_1, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon \right) \right), \right. \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \left. \min\{F(Su_1, Bv_1, (2t_0 - \epsilon)), F(Au_1, Tv_1, \epsilon)\} \right\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$(1 + \alpha F(r, r, t_0))F(r, Bv_1, t_0)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&> \alpha \min\{F(r, r, t_0)F(Bv_1, r, t_0), F(r, v_1, t_0)F(r, r, t_0)\} \\
&\quad + \min\left\{F(r, r, t_0), \min\{F(r, r, \epsilon), F\left(Bv_1, r, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right),\right. \\
&\quad \left.\min\{F(Bv_1, r, (2t_0 - \epsilon)), F(r, r, \epsilon)\}\right\} \\
&F(r, Bv_1, t_0) + \alpha F(r, Bv_1, t_0) \\
&\geq \alpha F(r, Bv_1, t_0) + \min\left\{F\left(Bv_1, r, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right), F(Bv_1, r, (2t_0 - \epsilon))\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{2}{k}t_0)$. As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $F(Bv_1, r, t_0) \geq F(Bv_1, r, \frac{2}{k}t_0)$, which contradicts (1) and so $Bv_1 = Tv_1 = r$. Therefore, v_1 is a coincidence point of the pair (B, T) .

Since the pair (A, S) is weakly compatible and $Au_1 = Su_1$ we obtain $Ar = Sr$.

Now, we prove that r is a common fixed point of A and S . If $r \neq Ar$, applying the inequality (*) with $p = r$ and $q = v_1$, we get for some $t_0 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1 + \alpha F(Sr, Tv_1, t_0))F(Ar, Bv_1, t_0) \\
&> \alpha \min\{F(Ar, Sr, t_0)F(Bv_1, Tv_1, t_0), F(Sr, Bv_1, t_0)F(Ar, Tv_1, t_0)\} \\
&\quad + \min\left\{F(Ar, r, t_0), \min\{F(Ar, Sv_1, \epsilon), F\left(Bv_1, Tv_1, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right),\right. \\
&\quad \left.\min\{F(Sr, Bv_1, (2t_0 - \epsilon)), F(Ar, Tv_1, \epsilon)\}\right\} \\
&(1 + \alpha F(Ar, r, t_0))F(Ar, r, t_0) \\
&> \alpha \min\{F(r, r, t_0)F(r, r, t_0), F(Ar, r, t_0)F(Ar, r, t_0)\} \\
&\quad + \min\left\{F(Ar, r, t_0), \min\{F(r, r, \epsilon), F\left(r, r, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right),\right. \\
&\quad \left.\min\{F(r, r, (2t_0 - \epsilon)), F(r, r, \epsilon)\}\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&F(Ar, r, t_0) + \alpha(F(Ar, r, t_0))^2 \\
&\geq \alpha(F(Ar, r, t_0))^2 + F(Ar, r, t_0)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{2}{k}t_0)$. $F(Ar, r, t_0) \geq F(Ar, r, t_0)$, which contradicts (1) and so $Ar = Sr = r$, which shows that r is a common fixed point of A and S . Since the pair (B, T) is weakly compatible, we get $Br = Tr$. Similarly, we can prove that r is a common fixed point of B and T . Hence r is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T . \square

We now give an example to illustrate the above theorem see for details [2].

Example 2.1. Let (K, F, Δ) be a Menger space with $K = [3, 11]$ and for all $p, q \in K$ by $F(p, q, t) = H(t - |p - q|)$, $t > 0$ and $F(p, q, 0) = 0$ where $\Delta(a_1, b_1) = \min\{a_1, b_1\}$ for all $a_1, b_1 \in [0, 1]$. Define self-mappings A and S

on K by

$$\begin{aligned}
 Ap &= \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } p \in 3 \cup (5, 11) \\ 10 & \text{if } p \in (3, 5) \end{cases}, & Bp &= \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } p \in 3 \cup (5, 11) \\ 9 & \text{if } p \in (3, 5) \end{cases}, \\
 Sp &= \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } p = 3 \\ 7 & \text{if } p \in (3, 5) \\ \frac{p+1}{3} & \text{if } p \in (5, 11) \end{cases}, & Tp &= \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } p = 3 \\ p+4 & \text{if } p \in (3, 5) \\ p-2 & \text{if } p \in (5, 11) \end{cases}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We take $\{p_n = 3\}$, $\{q_n = 5 + \frac{1}{n}\}$ or $\{p_n = 5 + \frac{1}{n}\}$, $\{q_n = 3\}$, since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ap_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sp_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bq_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tq_n = 3 \in S(K) \cap T(K).$$

Then the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy the CLR_{ST} property. Thus all the conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied and 3 is the unique common fixed point of the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) . Remark that all the mappings are even discontinuous at their unique common fixed point 3. In this example $S(K)$ and $T(K)$ are not closed subsets of K .

Lemma 2.3. *Let A, B, S and T be mappings of a complete Menger space (K, F, Δ) into itself satisfying the conditions (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) of Lemma 2.1 and*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (**) \quad & (1 + \alpha F(Sp, Tq, t))F(Ap, Bq, t) \\
 & > \alpha \min\{F(Ap, Sp, t)F(Bq, Tq, t), F(Sp, Bq, t)F(Ap, Tq, t)\} \\
 & + \min \left\{ F(Sp, Tq, t) \sup_{t_1+t_2=\frac{2t}{k}} \min\{F(Ap, Sp, t_1), F(Sp, Bq, t_2)\} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \sup_{t_3+t_4=\frac{2t}{k}} \min\{F(Bq, Tq, t_3), F(Ap, Tq, t_4)\} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $p, q \in K$, $t > 0$, for some $\alpha \geq 0$ and $1 \leq k < 2$. Then the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy the CLR_{ST} property.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that (1) holds. Using the inequality $(**)$ with $p = p_n$, $q = q_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1 + \alpha F(Sp_n, Tq_n, t_0))F(p_n, Bq_n, t_0) \\
 & > \alpha \min\{F(Ap_n, Sp_n, t_0)F(Bq_n, Tq_n, t_0), F(Sp_n, Bq_n, t_0)F(Ap_n, Tq_n, t_0)\} \\
 & + \min \left\{ F(Sp_n, Tq_n, t_0), \min\{F(Ap_n, Sp_n, \epsilon), \right. \\
 & \quad \left. F\left(Sp_n, Bq_n, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \min\left\{F\left(Bq_n, Tq_n, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right), F(Ap_n, Tq_n, \epsilon)\right\} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{2}{k}t_0)$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1 + \alpha F(r, r, t_0))F(r, l, t_0) \\
 & > \alpha \min\{F(r, r, t_0)F(l, r, t_0), F(r, l, t_0)F(r, r, t_0)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \min \left\{ F(r, r, t_0) \min \left\{ F(r, r, \epsilon), F \left(r, l, \left(\frac{2}{k} t_0 - \epsilon \right) \right) \right. \right. \\
 & \qquad \left. \left. \min \left\{ F \left(l, r, \left(\frac{2}{k} t_0 - \epsilon \right) \right), F(r, r, \epsilon) \right\} \right\} \right. \\
 & F(r, l, t_0) + \alpha F(r, r, t_0) \\
 & > \alpha F(r, r, t_0) + \min \left\{ F(r, r, t_0) \min \left\{ F(r, r, (\epsilon)), F \left(r, l, \left(\left(\frac{2}{k} t_0 - \epsilon \right) \right) \right) \right\} \right. \\
 & \qquad \left. \min \left\{ F \left(l, r, \left(\left(\frac{2}{k} t_0 - \epsilon \right) \right) \right), F(r, r, (\epsilon)) \right\} \right\} \\
 & F(r, l, t_0) > \min \left\{ 1, F \left(r, l, \left(\left(\frac{2}{k} t_0 - \epsilon \right) \right) \right) \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain, $F(r, l, t_0) \geq F \left(r, l, \frac{2}{k} t_0 \right)$, which contradicts (1) and so we have $r = l$. Then the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy the CLR_{ST} property. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let A, B, S and T be mappings of a complete Menger space (K, F, Δ) into itself satisfying the inequality (**) of Lemma 2.3. If the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy the CLR_{ST} property, the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) have coincidence points. Moreover, if (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in K .*

Proof. Suppose that the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfies the CLR_{ST} property, there exists two sequences $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ in K such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ap_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sp_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tq_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bq_n = r,$$

where $r \in S(K) \cap T(K)$.

Hence there exists $u, v \in K$ such that $Su = Tv = r$.

From Theorem 2.2, we can easily prove that r is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

If $B = A$ and $T = S$ in Theorems 2.2 and 2.4, we obtain a common fixed point for a set of self-mappings. \square

In the proof of the following lemma, we do not need to prove the inequality (1):

Lemma 2.5. *Let A, B, S and T be mappings of a complete Menger space (K, F, Δ) into itself satisfying the conditions (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) of Lemma 2.1 and*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (***) \quad & (1 + \alpha F(Sp, Tq, t))F(Ap, Bq, t) \\
 & > \alpha \min \{ F(Ap, Sp, t)F(Bq, Tq, t), F(Sp, Bq, t)F(Ap, Tq, t) \} \\
 & + \min \left\{ F(Sp, Tq, t) \sup_{t_1+t_2=\frac{2t}{k}} \max \{ F(Ap, Sp, t_1), F(Bq, Tq, t_2) \} \right. \\
 & \qquad \left. \sup_{t_3+t_4=2t} \max \{ F(Sp, Bq, t_2), F(Ap, Tq, t_4) \} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $p, q \in K, t > 0$, for some $\alpha \geq 0$ and $1 \leq k < 2$. Then the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy the CLR_{ST} property.

Proof. Suppose that the pair (A, S) satisfies the CLR_S property and $T(K)$ is closed subset of K . Then there exists a sequence $\{p_n\}$ in K such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ap_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sp_n = z,$$

where $r \in S(K)$.

Since $A(K) \subseteq T(K)$, there exists a sequence $\{q_n\}$ in K such that $A(p_n) = T(q_n)$. So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tq_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ap_n = z,$$

where $r \in S(K) \cap T(K)$.

Thus $Ap_n \rightarrow r, Sp_n \rightarrow r$ and $Tq_n \rightarrow r$. Now, we show the $Bq_n \rightarrow r$.

Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(Bq_n, l, t_0) = 1$. Now, we show that $l = r$. Assume that $l \neq r$.

Using the inequality $(***)$ with $p = p_n, q = q_n$, we get for some $t_0 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \alpha F(Sp_n, Tq_n, t_0))F(Ap_n, Bq_n, t_0) \\ & > \alpha \min\{F(Ap_n, Sp_n, t_0)F(Bq_n, Tq_n, t_0), F(Sp_n, Bq_n, t_0)F(Ap_n, Tq_n, t_0)\} \\ & \quad + \min \left\{ F(Sp_n, Tq_n, t_0) \max\{F(Ap_n, Sp_n, \epsilon), F\left(Bq_n, Tq_n, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right)\right. \\ & \quad \left. \min\{F(Sp_n, Bq_n, (2t_0 - \epsilon)), F(Ap_n, Tq_n, \epsilon)\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{2}{k}t_0)$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \alpha F(r, r, t_0))F(r, l, t_0) \\ & > \alpha \min\{F(r, r, t_0)F(l, r, t_0), F(r, l, t_0)F(r, r, t_0)\} \\ & \quad + \min \left\{ F(r, r, t_0) \max\{F(r, r, \epsilon), F\left(r, l, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right)\right. \\ & \quad \left. \max\{F(r, l, (2t_0 - \epsilon)), F(r, r, \epsilon)\} \right\} \\ & F(r, l, t_0) + \alpha F(r, r, t_0) \\ & > \alpha F(r, r, t_0) + \min \left\{ F(r, r, t_0) \max\{F(r, r, (\epsilon)), F\left(r, l, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right)\right. \\ & \quad \left. \max\{F(l, r, ((2t_0 - \epsilon))), F(r, r, (\epsilon))\} \right\} F(r, l, t_0) > 1 \end{aligned}$$

for some $t_0 > 0$ and so we have $r = l$. Then the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy the CLR_{ST} property. □

Theorem 2.6. *Let A, B, S and T be mappings of a complete Menger space (K, F, Δ) into itself satisfying the inequality $(***)$ of Lemma 2.5. If the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy the CLR_{ST} property, the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) have coincidence points. Moreover, if (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in K .*

Proof. Suppose that the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfies the CLR_{ST} property, there exists two sequences $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ in K such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ap_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sp_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tq_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bq_n = r,$$

where $r \in S(K) \cap T(K)$.

Hence there exists $u, v \in K$ such that $Su = Tv = r$. Now, we show that $Au = Su = r$.

If $Au \neq Su$, putting $p = u$ and $q = q_n$ in inequality $(***)$, we get for some $t_0 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \alpha F(Su, Tq_n, t_0))F(Au, Bq_n, t_0) \\ & > \alpha \min\{F(Au, Su, t_0)F(Bq_n, Tq_n, t_0), F(Su, Bq_n, t_0)F(Au, Tq_n, t_0)\} \\ & \quad + \min \left\{ F(Su, Tq_n, t_0), \max \left\{ F \left(Au, Su, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon \right) \right), F(Bq_n, Tq_n, \epsilon) \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \max \{F(Su, Bq_n, \epsilon), F(Au, Tq_n, (2t_0 - \epsilon))\} \right\} \\ & (1 + \alpha F(r, r, t_0))F(Au, r, t_0) \\ & > \alpha \min\{F(Au, r, t_0)F(r, r, t_0), F(r, r, t_0)F(Au, r, t_0)\} \\ & \quad + \min \left\{ F(r, r, t_0), \max \left\{ F \left(Au, r, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon \right) \right), F(r, r, \epsilon), \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \max \{F(r, r, \epsilon), F(Au, r, (2t_0 - \epsilon))\} \right\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$F(Au, r, t_0) > 1$, and so $Au = Su = r$. Therefore u is a coincidence point of the pair (A, S) .

Now, we assert that $Bv = Tv = r$. If $r \neq Bv$, putting $p = u$ and $q = v$ in the inequality $(**)$, we get for some $t_0 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \alpha F(Su, Tv, t_0))F(Au, Bv, t_0) \\ & > \alpha \min\{F(Au, Su, t_0)F(Bv, Tv, t_0), F(Su, Bv, t_0)F(Au, Tv, t_0)\} \\ & \quad + \min \left\{ F(Su, Tv, t_0), \max \left\{ F(Au, Sv, \epsilon), F \left(Bv, Tv, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon \right) \right) \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \max \{F(Su, Bv, (2t_0 - \epsilon)), F(Au, Tv, \epsilon)\} \right\} \\ & (1 + \alpha F(r, r, t_0))F(r, Bv, t_0) \\ & > \alpha \min\{F(r, r, t_0)F(Bv, r, t_0), F(r, Bv, t_0)F(r, r, t_0)\} \\ & \quad + \min \left\{ F(r, r, t_0), \max \left\{ F(r, r, \epsilon), F \left(Bv, r, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon \right) \right) \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \max \{F(Bv, r, (2t_0 - \epsilon)), F(r, r, \epsilon)\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$F(Bv, r, t_0) > 1$ and so $Bv = Tv = r$. Therefore v is a coincidence point of the pair (B, T) .

Since the pair (A, S) is weakly compatible and $Au = Su$ we obtain $Ar = Sr$. Now we prove that r is a common fixed point of A and S . If $r \neq Ar$, applying the inequality $(**)$ with $p = r$ and $q = v$, we get for some $t_0 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1 + \alpha F(Sr, Tv, t_0))F(Ar, Bv, t_0) \\
 & > \alpha \min \left\{ F(Ar, Sr, t_0)F(Bv, Tv, t_0), F(Sr, Bv, t_0)F(Ar, Tv, t_0) \right\} \\
 & \quad + \min \left\{ F(Ar, r, t_0), \max \left\{ F(Ar, Sr, \epsilon), F \left(Bv, Tv, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon \right) \right) \right\} \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \max \{ F(Sr, Bv, \epsilon), F(Ar, Tv, (2t_0 - \epsilon)) \} \right\} \\
 & (1 + \alpha F(Ar, r, t_0))F(Ar, r, t_0) \\
 & > \alpha \min \{ F(r, r, t_0)F(r, r, t_0), F(Ar, r, t_0)F(Ar, r, t_0) \} \\
 & \quad + \min \left\{ F(Ar, r, t_0), \max \left\{ F(r, r, \epsilon), F \left(Ar, r, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon \right) \right) \right\} \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \max \{ F(r, r, \epsilon), F(Ar, r, (2t_0 - \epsilon)) \} \right\} \\
 & F(Ar, r, t_0) + \alpha(F(Ar, r, t_0))^2 \geq \alpha(F(Ar, r, t_0))^2 + F(Ar, r, t_0) \\
 & F(Ar, r, t_0) > F(Ar, r, t_0)
 \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible and so $Ar = Sr = r$, which shows that r is a common fixed point of A and S . Since the pair (B, T) is weakly compatible, we get $Br = Tr$. Similarly, we can prove that r is a common fixed point of B and T . Hence r is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T . □

Let φ be the set of all non-decreasing and continuous functions $\varphi : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$ such that $\varphi(t) > t$ for all $t \in (0, 1]$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let A, B, S and T be mappings of a complete Menger space (K, F, Δ) into itself satisfying the conditions (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) of Lemma 2.1 and*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (***) \quad & (1 + \alpha F(Sp, Tq, t))F(Ap, Bq, t) \\
 & > \alpha \min \{ F(Ap, Sp, t)F(Bq, Tq, t), F(Sp, Bq, t)F(Ap, Tq, t) \} \\
 & \quad + \varphi \left\{ F(Sp, Tq, t) \sup_{t_1+t_2=\frac{2t}{k}} \min \{ F(Ap, Sp, t_1), F(Bq, Tq, t_2) \} \right. \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \sup_{t_3+t_4=\frac{2t}{k}} \min \{ F(Sp, Bq, t_3), F(Ap, Tq, t_4) \} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $p, q \in K, t > 0$, for some $\alpha \geq 0$ and $1 \leq k < 2, \varphi \in \varphi$. Then the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy the CLR_{ST} property.

Proof. From Lemma 2.5 we have $Ap_n \rightarrow r$, $Sp_n \rightarrow r$ and $Tp_n \rightarrow r$. Now we show the $Bq_n \rightarrow r$.

Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(Bq_n, l, t_0) = 1$. Now, we show that $l = r$. Assume that $l \neq r$. Using the inequality (***) with $p = p_n$, $q = q_n$, we get for some $t_0 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \alpha F(Sp_n, Tq_n, t_0))F(Ap_n, Bq_n, t_0) \\ & > \alpha \min\{F(Ap_n, Sp_n, t_0)F(Bq_n, Tq_n, t_0), F(Sp_n, Bq_n, t_0)F(Ap_n, Tq_n, t_0)\} \\ & \quad + \varphi \left\{ F(Sp_n, Tq_n, t_0) \min\{F(Ap_n, Sp_n, \epsilon), F\left(Bq_n, Tq_n, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right)\right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \min\left\{F\left(Sp_n, Bq_n, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right), F(Ap_n, Tq_n, \epsilon)\right\}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{2}{k}t_0)$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \alpha F(r, r, t_0))F(r, l, t_0) \\ & > \alpha \min\{F(r, r, t_0)F(l, r, t_0), F(r, l, t_0)F(r, r, t_0)\} \\ & \quad + \varphi \left\{ F(r, r, t_0) \min\{F(r, r, \epsilon), F\left(r, l, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right)\right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \min\left\{F\left(r, l, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right), F(r, r, \epsilon)\right\}\right\} \\ & F(r, l, t_0) + \alpha F(r, r, t_0) \\ & > \alpha F(r, r, t_0) + \varphi \left\{ F(r, r, t_0) \min\{F(r, r, (\epsilon)), F\left(r, l, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right)\right\} \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \min\left\{F\left(l, r, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right), F(r, r, (\epsilon))\right\} \right\} \\ & F(r, l, t_0) > \varphi \left(F\left(l, r, \left(\frac{2}{k}t_0 - \epsilon\right)\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{2}{k}t_0)$. As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $F(r, l, t_0) > F(l, r, (\frac{2}{k}t_0))$ for some $t_0 > 0$.

By (2) so we have $r = l$. Then the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy the CLR_{ST} property. \square

Remark 2.2. Lemmas 2.1, 2.3, 2.5 and 2.7 remain true if we assume that the pair (B, T) satisfies the CLR_T property, $B(K) \subseteq S(K)$ and $S(K)$ is a closed subset of K .

Theorem 2.8. Let A, B, S and T be mappings of a complete Menger space (K, F, Δ) into itself satisfying the inequality (***) of Lemma 2.7. If the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfy the CLR_{ST} property, the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) have coincidence points. Moreover, if (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in K .

Proof. Suppose that the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfies the CLR_{ST} property, there exists two sequences $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ in K such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ap_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sp_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tq_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bq_n = r,$$

where $r \in S(K) \cap T(K)$. □

From Theorem 2.6 and using function φ , we can easily prove the theorems.

Remark 2.3. *In Theorems 2.2, 2.4, 2.6 and 2.8 by a similar manner, we can prove that A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in K if we assume that the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) verify $JCLR_{ST}$ property or CLR_{AB} property instead of CLR_{ST} property.*

Theorem 2.9. *Let A, B, S and T be mappings of a complete Menger space (K, F, Δ) into itself satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2.1, or Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.7. If the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible, then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in K .*

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7, the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) satisfies the CLR_{ST} property, there exists two sequences $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ in K such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ap_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sp_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tq_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bq_n = r,$$

where $r \in S(K) \cap T(K)$.

The rest of the proof follows as in the proof of Theorems 2.2, 2.4, 2.6 and 2.8. □

Example 2.2. *We retain A and B and replace S and T in Example 2.1 by the following mappings*

$$Sp = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } p = 3 \\ 6 & \text{if } p \in (3, 5) \\ \frac{p+1}{3} & \text{if } p \in [5, 11), \end{cases} \quad Tp = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } p = 3 \\ 9 & \text{if } p \in (3, 5) \\ p - 2 & \text{if } p \in [5, 11]. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $A(K) = \{3, 4\} \subset [3, 9] = T(K)$ and $B(K) = \{3, 5\} \subset [3, 6] = S(K)$.

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 2.6 are satisfied and 3 is the unique common fixed point of the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) . Also, it is noted that Theorem 2.2 cannot be used in the context of this example as $S(K)$ and $T(K)$ are closed subsets of K .

Applying Theorems 2.2, 2.4, 2.6 and 2.8, we deduce a common fixed point for four finite families of self-mappings given by the following corollary.

Corollary 2.10. *Let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^m, \{B_r\}_{r=1}^n, \{S_k\}_{k=1}^p$ and $\{T_h\}_{h=1}^q$ be four finite families of self-mappings of and Menger space (K, F, Δ) , where Δ is a continuous t -norm with $A = A_1A_2 \dots A_m, B = B_1B_2 \dots B_n, S = S_1S_2 \dots S_p, T = T_1T_2 \dots T_q$ satisfies the inequality $(*)$ of Lemma 2.1 or the inequality $(**)$ of Lemma 2.3. Suppose that the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) verify the CLR_{ST} property. Then $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^m, \{B_r\}_{r=1}^n, \{S_k\}_{k=1}^p$ and $\{T_h\}_{h=1}^q$ a unique common fixed point in K provided that the pairs of families $(\{A_i\}_{i=1}^m, \{S_k\}_{k=1}^p), (\{B_r\}_{r=1}^n, \{T_h\}_{h=1}^q)$ commute pair wise.*

By setting $A = A_1 = A_2 = \dots = A_m, B = B_1 = B_2 = \dots = B_n, S = S_1 = S_2 = \dots = S_p, T = T_1 = T_2 = \dots = T_q$ in Corollary 2.10, we get that A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in K , provided that the pairs (A^m, S^p) and (B^n, T^q) commute pair wise.

3. CONCLUSION

In view of their interesting applications, searching for fixed point theorems in Menger Space the main aim of this paper is to present some fixed point results involving the so-called “common limit in the range property” and “Joint Common Limit in the range property. The new theory leads to further investigations and applications in Menger space.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aamri and D. EIMoutawakil, *Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 270 (2002), 181-188.
- [2] L. B. Aoua and A. Aliouche, *Common fixed point theorems in intuitionistic Menger space using CLR property*, Malaya J. Mat. 3(4) (2015), 368-381.
- [3] A. Ali, M. Imdad, D. Mihet and M. Tanveer, *Common fixed points of strict contractions in Menger spaces*, Acta Math. Hung. 132(4), 367-386.
- [4] S. Chauhan, W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, *Common Fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces using (JCLR) property*, Appl. Math. 3 (2012), 976-982.
- [5] S. Chauhan, S. Dalal, W. Sintunavarat and J. Vujakovic, *Common property (E.A) and existence of fixed point in Menger spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl. 2014, Article number 56.
- [6] J. X. Fang and Y. Gao, *Common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions in Menger spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. 70 (2009), 184-193.
- [7] M. Imdad, A. Ali, D. Mihet and M. Tanveer, *Coincidence and common fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in Menger PM-spaces*, Chaos Solitons and Fractals 42(5) (2009), 3121-3129.
- [8] M. Imdad, B. D. Pant and S. Chauhan, *Fixed point theorems in Menger spaces using the CLR_{ST} property and applications*, J. Nonlinear Anal. Optim. 3(2) (2012), 225-237.
- [9] G. Jungck, *Commuting mappings and fixed points*, Amer. Math. Mon. 83 (1976), 261-263.
- [10] G. Jungck, *Compatible mappings and common fixed points*, Internet. J. Math. Sci. 9 (1986), 771-779.
- [11] G. Jungck., *Common fixed points for non-continuous non-self maps on non-metric spaces*, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences 4(2) (1996), 199-212.
- [12] G. Jungck., *Compatible mappings and common fixed points*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences 9(4) (1986), 771-779.
- [13] K. Menger, *Statistical metrics*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA) 28 (1942), 535-537.
- [14] S. N. Mishra, *Common fixed points of compatible mappings in probabilistic metric spaces*, Math. Japon 36(2) (1991), 283-289.
- [15] S. Manro and C. Vetro, *Common fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces employing CLR_S and CLR_{ST} property*, Facta Universitatis (NIS), Ser. Math. Inform. 289(1) (2014), 77-90.
- [16] R. P. Pant, *A common fixed point theorem under a new condition*, Indian Journal of Pure and applied Mathematics 30 (1999), 147-152.
- [17] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, North Holland Series in Probability and Applied Math., North-Holland Publ. Co., New York, 1983.
- [18] S. Sessa, *On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations*, Publications Del' Institute Mathematique, Nouvelle Serie Tome 32 (1982), 149-153.
- [19] B. Singh and S. Jain, *A fixed point theorem in Menger space through weak compatibility*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 301 (2005), 439-448.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, JSS ACADEMY OF TECHNICAL EDUCATION,
C-20/1, SECTOR 62, NOIDA 201301, UTTAR PRADESH, INDIA
E-mail address: zkansari@rediffmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MAITREYI COLLEGE, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, CHANAKYA
PURI, NEW DELHI 110021, INDIA
E-mail address: kpawan990@gmail.com

SCHOOL OF PHYSICAL SCIENCES, STAREX UNIVERSITY, GURUGRAM 122413, HARYANA,
INDIA
E-mail address: balbir.vashist007@gmail.com