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#### Abstract

Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be simple connected graphs with disjoint vertex sets $V\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $V\left(G_{2}\right)$ respectively. For given vertices $a_{1} \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $a_{2} \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$ a splice of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ by vertices $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ defined by identifying the vertices $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ in the union of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. In this article the explicit interpretation of $I S I, E M_{1}, A B C$ and $S K_{1}$ index in terms of the graph size and maximum or minimum vertex degrees of special splice graphs are obtained.
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## 1. Introduction

A topological index is a numerical quantity associated with the chemical constitution of a chemical compound aiming the correlation of chemical structure with many of its physico-chemical properties, chemical reactivity or biological activities. Topological indices are designed on the ground of transformation of a molecular graph into a number which characterize the topology of that graph [14].

A graph $G(V, E)$ with vertex set $V$ and edge set $E$ is said to be connected, if there exist a connection between any pair of vertices in $G$. The degree $d_{G}(u)$ of a vertex $u$ is the number of edges that are incident to it. $\Delta_{G}$ and $\delta_{G}$ represents the maximum and minimum degrees respectively, the notations $n_{G}$ and $e_{G}$ denote the number of vertices and edges of $G$ respectively. $d_{G}(S(u))$ is the degree of selected vertex and $M r(G \bullet H)$ is the merged vertex in $G \bullet H$.

Estrada et al., [5] proposed a topological index named the atom-bond connectivity index. It is defined as

$$
A B C(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{d_{G}(u)+d_{G}(v)-2}{d_{G}(u) d_{G}(v)}} .
$$

In [13], Miličević, Nikolić and Trinjastić (2004) reformulated the Zagreb indices as

$$
E M_{1}(G)=\sum_{u v \in E[G]} d_{G}(e)^{2} .
$$

where $d(e)$ denotes the degree of the edge $e$ in $G$, which is defined by $d_{G}(e)=$ $d_{G}(u)+d_{G}(v)-2$ with $e=u v$.

Vukičević and Gašperov (2010) [18, 19] introduced bond-additive topological index namely, inverse sum indeg index. It as a significant predictor of total surface area of octane isomers and is defined as

$$
\operatorname{ISI}(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left[\frac{d_{G}(u) d_{G}(v)}{d_{G}(u)+d_{G}(v)}\right] .
$$

Recently, Shegehalli and Kanabur [15] introduced $S K_{1}$ index as follows:

$$
S K_{1}(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \frac{d_{G}(u)+d_{G}(v)}{2}
$$

Chemically interesting graphs are obtained by means of different graph operations which can be thought as graph extensions on some general or particular graphs $[1,3,6,7,17]$. The reason for studying these operations is to understand how the graph operation can relate the values of the corresponding topological indices of the given graphs. The values of the topological indices of the larger graph obtained as a result of these operations or sometimes to the help us to comment on chemical properties of the resulting graph. Actually this idea motivated from [8].

Splice Graph: $[2,4,16]$ Let $G$ and $H$ be two simple connected graphs with disjoint vertex sets $V(G)$ and $V(H)$ and edge sets $E(G)$ and $E(H)$, respectively. Let $b_{1} \in$ $V(G)$ and $y_{1} \in V(H)$. Then the splice graph $G \bullet H$ of $G$ and $H$ by vertices $b_{1}$ and $y_{1}$, respectively, is defined by identifying the vertices $b_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ in the union of $G$ and $H$. It is known that, for splice graphs, the total number of vertices is $n_{G}+n_{H}-1$ while the total number of edges is $e_{G}+e_{H}$ (FIGURE 1 ).


Figure 1. Splice of $G$ and $H$ by the vertices $b_{1}$ and $y_{1}$
The forth coming section includes four subsections viz., subdivision-vertex splice graph, subdivision-edge splice graph, subdivision-vertex neighbourhood splice graph and subdivision-edge neighbourhood splice graph respectively. Also, we recalled the related definitions and reckoned the bounds for the $I S I, E M_{1}, A B C$ and $S K_{1}$ indices.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

2.1. Subdivision-vertex splice graph. [8] Let $G$ and $H$ be two vertex disjoint graphs and let $b_{1} \in V(G)$ and $y_{1} \in V(H)$. The subdivision vertex splice $G$ and $H$ is denoted by $G \bullet_{v} H$ and obtained from $S(G)$ and one copy of $H$ which is identifying the vertices $b_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ in the union of $S(G)$ and $H$ (FIGURE 2).


Figure 2. $S$-vertex splice

Theorem 2.1. Let $G$ and $H$ are two simple connected graphs. Then the bounds for the inverse sum indeg index of $G \bullet_{v} H$ are given by

$$
I S I\left[G \bullet_{v} H\right] \leq \frac{2 \Delta_{G}\left[2 m_{1}-\Delta_{G}\right]}{\Delta_{G}+2}+\frac{\Delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]}{2}+\frac{2 \Delta_{G}\left(\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right)}{\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}+2}+\frac{\Delta_{H}^{2}\left(\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right)}{\Delta_{G}+2 \Delta_{H}}
$$

$I S I\left[G \bullet_{v} H\right] \geq \frac{2 \delta_{G}\left[2 m_{1}-\delta_{G}\right]}{\delta_{G}+2}+\frac{\delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]}{2}+\frac{2 \delta_{G}\left(\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}\right)}{\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}+2}+\frac{\delta_{H}^{2}\left(\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}\right)}{\delta_{G}+2 \Delta_{H}}$.
Proof. Consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I S I\left[G \bullet_{v} H\right] & =\sum_{\substack{u v \in\left[G \bullet_{v} H\right] \\
u \in V[G], v \in I[G]}}\left[\frac{d_{G}(u) \cdot 2}{d_{G}(u)+2}\right]+\sum_{\substack{u v \in \in[G \bullet v H] \\
u, v \in V[H]}}\left[\frac{d_{H}(u) \cdot d_{H}(v)}{d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)}\right] \\
& +\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{v} H\right] \\
u \in M\left[G \bullet \bullet_{v} H\right], v \in I[G]}}\left[\frac{\left(d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)\right) \cdot 2}{d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)+2}\right]+\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{v} H\right] \\
u \in M\left[G \bullet \bullet_{v} H\right], v \in V[H]}}\left[\frac{\left(d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)\right) \cdot d_{H}(w)}{d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)+d_{H}(w)}\right] \\
& =\left[2 m_{1}-d_{G}(S(u))\right]\left[\frac{2 d_{G}(u)}{d_{G}(u)+2}\right]+\left[m_{2}-d_{H}(S(u))\right]\left[\frac{d_{H}(u) \cdot d_{H}(v)}{d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)}\right] \\
& +d_{G}(S(u))\left[\frac{2\left(d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)\right)}{d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)+2}\right]+d_{H}(S(u))\left[\frac{\left(d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)\right) \cdot d_{H}(w)}{d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)+d_{H}(w)}\right] \\
& \leq\left[2 m_{1}-\Delta_{G}\right]\left[\frac{2 \Delta_{G}}{\Delta_{G}+2}\right]+\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]\left[\frac{\Delta_{H}^{2}}{2 \Delta_{H}}\right]+\Delta_{G}\left[\frac{2\left(\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right)}{\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}+2}\right] \\
& +\Delta_{H}\left[\frac{\left(\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right) \cdot \Delta_{H}}{\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}+\Delta_{H}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
I S I\left[G \bullet_{v} H\right] \leq \frac{2 \Delta_{G}\left[2 m_{1}-\Delta_{G}\right]}{\Delta_{G}+2}+\frac{\Delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]}{2}+\frac{2 \Delta_{G}\left(\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right)}{\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}+2}+\frac{\Delta_{H}^{2}\left(\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right)}{\Delta_{G}+2 \Delta_{H}} .
$$

One can analogously compute the following,

$$
I S I\left[G \bullet_{v} H\right] \geq \frac{2 \delta_{G}\left[2 m_{1}-\delta_{G}\right]}{\delta_{G}+2}+\frac{\delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]}{2}+\frac{2 \delta_{G}\left(\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}\right)}{\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}+2}+\frac{\delta_{H}^{2}\left(\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}\right)}{\delta_{G}+2 \Delta_{H}}
$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $G$ and $H$ are two simple connected graphs. Then the bounds for the $E M_{1}$ index of $G \bullet v H$ are given by
$E M_{1}\left[G \bullet_{v} H\right] \leq \Delta_{G}^{2}\left[2 m_{1}-\Delta_{G}\right]+4\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]\left[\Delta_{H}-1\right]^{2}+\Delta_{G}\left[\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right]^{2}+\Delta_{H}\left[\Delta_{G}+2 \Delta_{H}-2\right]^{2}$.
$E M_{1}[G \bullet v H] \geq \delta_{G}^{2}\left[2 m_{1}-\delta_{G}\right]+4\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]\left[\delta_{H}-1\right]^{2}+\delta_{G}\left[\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}\right]^{2}+\delta_{H}\left[\delta_{G}+2 \delta_{H}-2\right]^{2}$.

Proof. Consider,

$$
\left.\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
E M_{1}[G \bullet v
\end{array}\right)=\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{v} H\right] \\
u \in V[G], v \in I[G]}}\left[d_{G}(u)+2-2\right]^{2}+\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet \bullet_{v} H\right] \\
u, v \in V[H]}}\left[d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)-2\right]^{2}\right]\left(d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)\right)+2-2\right]^{2}+\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{v} H\right] \\
u \in M r\left[G \bullet E\left[G \bullet_{v} H\right], v \in V[H] \\
u \in M r[G \bullet v H], v \in I[G]\right.}}\left[d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)+d_{H}(w)-2\right]^{2}\right)
$$

One can analogously compute the following,
$E M_{1}\left[G \bullet_{v} H\right] \geq \delta_{G}^{2}\left[2 m_{1}-\delta_{G}\right]+4\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]\left[\delta_{H}-1\right]^{2}+\delta_{G}\left[\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}\right]^{2}+\delta_{H}\left[\delta_{G}+2 \delta_{H}-2\right]^{2}$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $G$ and $H$ are two simple connected graphs. Then the bounds for the Atom-bond connectivite index and $S K_{1}$ index of $G \bullet v H$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A B C\left[G \bullet_{v} H\right] \leq \frac{\left[2 m_{1}-\Delta_{G}\right]}{\sqrt{2}}+\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right] \sqrt{\frac{2\left(\Delta_{H}-1\right)}{\Delta_{H}^{2}}}+\frac{\Delta_{G}}{\sqrt{2}}+\Delta_{H} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_{G}+2 \Delta_{H}-2}{\left(\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right) \cdot \Delta_{H}}} \\
& A B C[G \bullet v H] \geq \frac{\left[2 m_{1}-\delta_{G}\right]}{\sqrt{2}}+\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right] \sqrt{\frac{2\left(\delta_{H}-1\right)}{\delta_{H}^{2}}}+\frac{\delta_{G}}{\sqrt{2}}+\delta_{H} \sqrt{\frac{\delta_{G}+2 \delta_{H}-2}{\left(\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}\right) \cdot \delta_{H}}} \\
& S K_{1}\left[G \bullet_{v} H\right] \leq\left[2 m_{1}-\Delta_{G}\right]\left[\frac{\Delta_{G}+2}{2}\right]+\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right] \Delta_{H}+\Delta_{G}\left[\frac{\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}+2}{2}\right]+\Delta_{H}\left[\frac{\Delta_{G}+2 \Delta_{H}}{2}\right] . \\
& S K_{1}\left[G \bullet \bullet_{v} H\right] \geq\left[2 m_{1}-\delta_{G}\right]\left[\frac{\delta_{G}+2}{2}\right]+\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right] \delta_{H}+\delta_{G}\left[\frac{\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}+2}{2}\right]+\delta_{H}\left[\frac{\delta_{G}+2 \delta_{H}}{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The proof technique is identical to the proof of Theorem 2.2.
2.2. Subdivision-edge splice graph: [8] Let $p_{2} \in I(G)$ be the inserted vertex of $S(G)$ and $y_{1} \in V(H)$. Then the $S$-edge splice of $G$ and $H$ is denoted by $G \bullet_{e} H$ that is obtained from $S(G)$ and one copy of $H$ identifying the vertices $p_{2}$ and $y_{1}$ in the union of $S(G)$ and $H$ (FIGURE 3).


Figure 3. S-edge splice graph

Theorem 2.4. Let $G$ and $H$ are two simple connected graphs. Then the bounds for the inverse sum indeg index of $G \bullet_{e} H$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I S I\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right] \leq \frac{4 \Delta_{G}\left[m_{1}-1\right]}{\Delta_{G}+2}+\frac{\Delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]}{2}+2\left[\frac{\Delta_{G}\left(\Delta_{H}+2\right)}{\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}+2}\right]+\left[\frac{\Delta_{H}^{2}\left(\Delta_{H}+2\right)}{2\left(\Delta_{H}+1\right)}\right] . \\
& I S I\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right] \geq \frac{4 \delta_{G}\left[m_{1}-1\right]}{\delta_{G}+2}+\frac{\delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]}{2}+2\left[\frac{\delta_{G}\left(\delta_{H}+2\right)}{\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}+2}\right]+\left[\frac{\delta_{H}^{2}\left(\delta_{H}+2\right)}{2\left(\delta_{H}+1\right)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I S I\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right]= & \sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right] \\
u \in V[G], v \in I[G]}}\left[\frac{d_{G}(u) \cdot 2}{d_{G}(u)+2}\right]+\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right] \\
u, v \in V[H]}}\left[\frac{d_{H}(u) \cdot d_{H}(v)}{d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)}\right] \\
& +\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G_{e} H\right] \\
u \in M r\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right], v \in V[G]}}\left[\frac{\left(d_{H}(v)+2\right) \cdot d_{G}(u)}{\left(d_{H}(v)+2\right)+d_{G}(u)}\right]+\sum_{\substack{u \in E\left[G_{e} H\right] \\
u \in M r\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right], v \in V[H]}}\left[\frac{\left(d_{H}(u)+2\right) \cdot d_{H}(v)}{\left(d_{H}(v)+2\right)+d_{H}(v)}\right] \\
& =\left[2 m_{1}-2\right]\left[\frac{d_{G}(u) \cdot 2}{d_{G}(u)+2}\right]+\left[m_{2}-d_{H}(S(u))\right]\left[\frac{d_{H}(u) \cdot d_{H}(v)}{d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)}\right]+2\left[\frac{\left(d_{H}(v)+2\right) \cdot d_{G}(u)}{\left(d_{H}(v)+2\right)+d_{G}(u)}\right] \\
& +d_{H}(S(u))\left[\frac{\left(d_{H}(u)+2\right) \cdot d_{H}(v)}{\left(d_{H}(v)+2\right)+d_{H}(v)}\right] \\
& \leq\left[2 m_{1}-2\right]\left[\frac{2 \Delta_{G}}{\Delta_{G}+2}\right]+\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]\left[\frac{\Delta_{H} \cdot \Delta_{H}}{\Delta_{H}+\Delta_{H}}\right]+2\left[\frac{\left(\Delta_{H}+2\right) \cdot \Delta_{G}}{\Delta_{H}+\Delta_{G}+2}\right]+\Delta_{H}\left[\frac{\Delta_{H}\left(\Delta_{H}+2\right)}{\Delta_{H}+\Delta_{H}+2}\right] \\
\leq & 2\left[m_{1}-1\right]\left[\frac{2 \Delta_{G}}{\Delta_{G}+2}\right]+\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]\left[\frac{\Delta_{H}^{2}}{2 \Delta_{H}}\right]+2\left[\frac{\left(\Delta_{H}+2\right) \cdot \Delta_{G}}{\Delta_{H}+\Delta_{G}+2}\right]+\Delta_{H}\left[\frac{\Delta_{H}\left(\Delta_{H}+2\right)}{2 \Delta_{H}+2}\right] \\
I S I\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right] \leq & \frac{4 \Delta_{G}\left[m_{1}-1\right]}{\Delta_{G}+2}+\frac{\Delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]}{2}+2\left[\frac{\Delta_{G}\left(\Delta_{H}+2\right)}{\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}+2}\right]+\left[\frac{\Delta_{H}^{2}\left(\Delta_{H}+2\right)}{2\left(\Delta_{H}+1\right)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

One can analogously compute the following,

$$
I S I\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right] \geq \frac{4 \delta_{G}\left[m_{1}-1\right]}{\delta_{G}+2}+\frac{\delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]}{2}+2\left[\frac{\delta_{G}\left(\delta_{H}+2\right)}{\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}+2}\right]+\left[\frac{\delta_{H}^{2}\left(\delta_{H}+2\right)}{2\left(\delta_{H}+1\right)}\right] .
$$

Theorem 2.5. Let $G$ and $H$ are two simple connected graphs. Then the bounds for the $E M_{1}$ index of $G \bullet_{e} H$ are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
E M_{1}\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right] \leq 2 \Delta_{G}^{2}\left[m_{1}-1\right]+4\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]\left[\Delta_{H}-1\right]^{2}+2\left[\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right]^{2}+4 \Delta_{H}^{3} . \\
\quad \text { and } \\
E M_{1}\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right] \geq 2 \delta_{G}^{2}\left[m_{1}-1\right]+4\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]\left[\delta_{H}-1\right]^{2}+2\left[\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}\right]^{2}+4 \delta_{H}^{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E M_{1}\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right]=\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right] \\
u \in V[G], v \in I[G]}}\left[d_{G}(u)+2-2\right]^{2}+\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right] \\
u, v \in V[H]}}\left[d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)-2\right]^{2} \\
&+\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right] \\
u \in M r\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right], v \in V[G]}}\left[\left(d_{H}(v)+2\right)+d_{G}(v)-2\right]^{2}+\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right] \\
u \in M r\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right], v \in V[H]}}\left[\left(d_{H}(u)+2\right)+d_{H}(v)-2\right]^{2} \\
&=\left[2 m_{1}-2\right]\left[d_{G}(u)\right]^{2}+\left[m_{2}-d_{H}(S(u))\right]\left[d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)-2\right]^{2}+2\left[d_{H}(u)+2+d_{G}(v)-2\right]^{2} \\
&+d_{H}(S(u))\left[d_{H}(u)+2+d_{H}(v)-2\right]^{2} \\
& \leq 2 \Delta_{G}^{2}\left[m_{1}-1\right]+\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]\left[\Delta_{H}+\Delta_{H}-2\right]^{2}+2\left[\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right]^{2}+\Delta_{H}\left[\Delta_{H}+\Delta_{H}\right]^{2} \\
& \leq 2 \Delta_{G}^{2}\left[m_{1}-1\right]+\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]\left[2 \Delta_{H}-2\right]^{2}+2\left[\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right]^{2}+\Delta_{H}\left[2 \Delta_{H}\right]^{2} \\
& E M_{1}\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right] \leq 2 \Delta_{G}^{2}\left[m_{1}-1\right]+4\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]\left[\Delta_{H}-1\right]^{2}+2\left[\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right]^{2}+4 \Delta_{H}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

One can analogously compute the following,

$$
E M_{1}\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right] \geq 2 \delta_{G}^{2}\left[m_{1}-1\right]+4\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]\left[\delta_{H}-1\right]^{2}+2\left[\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}\right]^{2}+4 \delta_{H}^{3}
$$

Theorem 2.6. Let $G$ and $H$ are two simple connected graphs. Then the bounds for the Atom-bond connectivite index and $S K_{1}$ index of $G \bullet_{e} H$ are given by
$A B C\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right] \leq \sqrt{2}\left[m_{1}-1\right]+\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right] \frac{\sqrt{2\left(\Delta_{H}-1\right)}}{\Delta_{H}}+2 \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_{H}+\Delta_{G}}{\Delta_{G} \cdot\left(\Delta_{H}+2\right)}}+\Delta_{H} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\Delta_{H}+2}}$.
$A B C\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right] \geq \sqrt{2}\left[m_{1}-1\right]+\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right] \frac{\sqrt{2\left(\delta_{H}-1\right)}}{\delta_{H}}+2 \sqrt{\frac{\delta_{H}+\delta_{G}}{\delta_{G} \cdot\left(\delta_{H}+2\right)}}+\delta_{H} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\delta_{H}+2}}$.
$S K_{1}\left[G \bullet_{e} H\right] \leq\left[m_{1}-1\right]\left[\Delta_{G}+2\right]+\Delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]+\left[\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}+2\right]+\Delta_{H}\left[\Delta_{H}+1\right]$.
$S K_{1}\left[G \bullet{ }_{e} H\right] \geq\left[m_{1}-1\right]\left[\delta_{G}+2\right]+\delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]+\left[\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}+2\right]+\delta_{H}\left[\delta_{H}+1\right]$.
Proof. The proof technique is identical to the proof of Theorem 2.5.
2.3. Subdivision-vertex neighbourhood splice Graph: Let $b_{1} \in V(G)$ and $y_{1} \in V(H)$. The $S$-vertex neighbourhood splice of $G$ and $H$ is denoted by $G \bullet_{n v} H$ and obtained from $S(G)$ and $d\left(b_{1}\right)$ copies of $H$ and identifying the neighbourhood vertices of $b_{1}$. For $y_{1} \in V(H)$, the union of the corresponding neighbourhood separated vertices $b_{1} \in V(G)$ of $S(G)$ ( FIGURE 4).


Figure 4. S- vertex neighbourhood splice

Theorem 2.7. Let $G$ and $H$ are two simple connected graphs. Then the bounds for the Inverse sum indeg index of $G \bullet_{n v} H$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
I S I\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] & \leq 2\left[m_{1}-\Delta_{G}\right]\left[\frac{2 \Delta_{G}}{\Delta_{G}+2}\right]+\frac{\Delta_{G} \Delta_{H}}{2}\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]+2 \Delta_{G}\left[\frac{\Delta_{G}\left(2+\Delta_{H}\right)}{\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}+2}\right] \\
& +\Delta_{G} \Delta_{H}\left[\frac{\Delta_{H}\left(2+\Delta_{H}\right)}{2\left(1+\Delta_{H}\right)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

and
$I S I\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] \geq 2\left[m_{1}-\delta_{G}\right]\left[\frac{2 \delta_{G}}{\delta_{G}+2}\right]+\frac{\delta_{G} \delta_{H}}{2}\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]+2 \delta_{G}\left[\frac{\delta_{G}\left(2+\delta_{H}\right)}{\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}+2}\right]+\delta_{G} \delta_{H}\left[\frac{\delta_{H}\left(2+\delta_{H}\right)}{2\left(1+\delta_{H}\right)}\right]$.
Proof. Consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I S I\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] & =\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] \\
u \in V[G], v \in I[G]}}\left[\frac{d_{G}(u) .2}{d_{G}(u)+2}\right]+\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] \\
u, v \in V[H]}}\left[\frac{d_{H}(u) \cdot d_{H}(v)}{d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)}\right] \\
& +\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] \\
u \in M r\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right], v \in V[G]}}\left[\frac{d_{G}(u) \cdot\left(2+d_{H}(v)\right)}{d_{G}(u)\left(2+d_{H}(v)\right)}\right]+\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] \\
u \in M r\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right], v \in V[H]}}\left[\frac{\left(2+d_{H}(u)\right) \cdot d_{H}(v)}{\left(2+d_{H}(u)\right)+d_{H}(v)}\right] \\
& =2\left[m_{1}-d_{G}(S(u))\right]\left[\frac{2 d_{G}(u)}{d_{G}(u)+2}\right]+d_{G}(S(u))\left[m_{2}-d_{H}(S(u))\right]\left[\frac{d_{H}(u) \cdot d_{H}(v)}{d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)}\right] \\
& +2 d_{G}(S(u))\left[\frac{d_{G}(u)\left(2+d_{H}(v)\right)}{d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)+2}\right]+d_{G}(S(u)) d_{H}(S(u))\left[\frac{d_{H}(v)\left(2+d_{H}(u)\right)}{2+d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)}\right] \\
& =2\left[m_{1}-d_{G}(S(u))\right]\left[\frac{2 d_{G}(u)}{d_{G}(u)+2}\right]+d_{G}(S(u))\left[m_{2}-d_{H}(S(u))\right]\left[\frac{d_{H}(u)^{2}}{2 d_{H}(u)}\right] \\
& +2 d_{G}(S(u))\left[\frac{d_{G}(u)\left(2+d_{H}(v)\right)}{d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)+2}\right]+d_{G}(S(u)) d_{H}(S(u))\left[\frac{d_{H}(v)\left(2+d_{H}(u)\right)}{2\left(1+d_{H}(u)\right)}\right] \\
I S I\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] & \leq 2\left[m_{1}-\Delta_{G}\right]\left[\frac{2 \Delta_{G}}{\Delta_{G}+2}\right]+\frac{\Delta_{G} \Delta_{H}}{2}\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]+2 \Delta_{G}\left[\frac{\Delta_{G}\left(2+\Delta_{H}\right)}{\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}+2}\right] \\
& +\Delta_{G} \Delta_{H}\left[\frac{\Delta_{H}\left(2+\Delta_{H}\right)}{2\left(1+\Delta_{H}\right)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

One can analogously compute the following,
$I S I\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] \geq 2\left[m_{1}-\delta_{G}\right]\left[\frac{2 \delta_{G}}{\delta_{G}+2}\right]+\frac{\delta_{G} \delta_{H}}{2}\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]+2 \delta_{G}\left[\frac{\delta_{G}\left(2+\delta_{H}\right)}{\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}+2}\right]+\delta_{G} \delta_{H}\left[\frac{\delta_{H}\left(2+\delta_{H}\right)}{2\left(1+\delta_{H}\right)}\right]$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $G$ and $H$ are two simple connected graphs. Then the bounds for the $E M_{1}$ index of $G \bullet_{n v} H$ are given by
$E M_{1}\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] \leq 2 \Delta_{G}^{2}\left[m_{1}-\Delta_{G}\right]+4 \Delta_{G}\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]\left[\Delta_{H}-1\right]^{2}+2 \Delta_{G}\left[\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right]^{2}+4 \Delta_{G} \Delta_{H}^{3}$. and
$E M_{1}\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] \geq 2 \delta_{G}^{2}\left[m_{1}-\delta_{G}\right]+4 \delta_{G}\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]\left[\delta_{H}-1\right]^{2}+2 \delta_{G}\left[\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}\right]^{2}+4 \delta_{G} \delta_{H}^{3}$.

Proof. Consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E M_{1}\left[G \bullet \bullet_{n v} H\right] & =\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] \\
u \in V[G], v \in I[G]}}\left[d_{G}(u)+2-2\right]^{2}+\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] \\
u, v \in[H]}}\left[d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)-2\right]^{2} \\
& \left.+\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] \\
u \in M r\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right], v \in V[G]}}\left[d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)\right)+2-2\right]^{2}+\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] \\
u \in M r\left[G \bullet{ }_{n v} H\right], v \in V[H]}}\left[2+d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)-2\right]^{2} \\
& =2\left[m_{1}-d_{G}(S(u))\right]\left[d_{G}(u)\right]^{2}+d_{G}(S(u))\left[m_{2}-d_{H}(S(u))\right]\left[d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)-2\right]^{2} \\
& +2 d_{G}(S(u))\left[d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)\right]^{2}+d_{G}(S(u)) d_{H}(S(u))\left[d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)\right]^{2} . \\
& \leq 2 \Delta_{G}^{2}\left[m_{1}-\Delta_{G}\right]+\Delta_{G}\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]\left[\Delta_{H}+\Delta_{H}-2\right]^{2}+2 \Delta_{G}\left[\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right]^{2}+\Delta_{G} \Delta_{H}\left[\Delta_{H}+\Delta_{H}\right]^{2} . \\
E M_{1}\left[G \bullet \bullet_{v} H\right] \leq & 2 \Delta_{G}^{2}\left[m_{1}-\Delta_{G}\right]+4 \Delta_{G}\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]\left[\Delta_{H}-1\right]^{2}+2 \Delta_{G}\left[\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right]^{2}+4 \Delta_{G} \Delta_{H}^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

One can analogously compute the following,
$E M_{1}[G \bullet v H] \geq 2 \delta_{G}^{2}\left[m_{1}-\delta_{G}\right]+4 \delta_{G}\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]\left[\delta_{H}-1\right]^{2}+2 \delta_{G}\left[\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}\right]^{2}+4 \delta_{G} \delta_{H}^{3}$.

Theorem 2.9. Let $G$ and $H$ are two simple connected graphs. Then the bounds for the Atom-bond connectivite index and $S K_{1}$ index of $G \bullet_{n v} H$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A B C\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] \leq \sqrt{2}\left[m_{1}-\Delta_{G}\right]+\frac{\Delta_{G}}{\Delta_{H}}\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right] \sqrt{2\left(\Delta_{H}-1\right)}+2 \Delta_{G} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}}{\Delta_{G}\left(2+\Delta_{H}\right)}} \\
& +\Delta_{G} \Delta_{H} \sqrt{\frac{2}{2+\Delta_{H}}} . \\
& A B C\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] \geq \sqrt{2}\left[m_{1}-\delta_{G}\right]+\frac{\delta_{G}}{\delta_{H}}\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right] \sqrt{2\left(\delta_{H}-1\right)}+2 \delta_{G} \sqrt{\frac{\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}}{\delta_{G}\left(2+\delta_{H}\right)}}+\delta_{G} \delta_{H} \sqrt{\frac{2}{2+\delta_{H}}} . \\
& S K_{1}\left[G \bullet_{n v} H\right] \leq\left[m_{1}-\Delta_{G}\right]\left[\Delta_{G}+2\right]+\Delta_{G} \Delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]+\Delta_{G}\left[\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}+2\right]+\Delta_{G} \Delta_{H}\left[\Delta_{H}+1\right] . \\
& S K_{1}[G \bullet n v] \geq\left[m_{1}-\delta_{G}\right]\left[\delta_{G}+2\right]+\delta_{G} \delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]+\delta_{G}\left[\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}+2\right]+\delta_{G} \delta_{H}\left[\delta_{H}+1\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The proof technique is identical to the proof of Theorem 2.8.
2.4. Subdivision-edge neighbourhood splice graph: [8] Let $p_{1} \in I(G)$ be the inserted vertex of $S(G)$ and $y_{1} \in V(H)$. Then the $S$-edge neighbourhood splice of $G$ and $H$ is denoted by $G \bullet_{n e} H$ that is obtained from $S(G)$ and two copies of $H$ identifying the vertices $p_{1}$. For $y_{1} \in V(H)$, the union of the corresponding neighbourhood separated vertices $p_{1}$ of $S(G)$ (FIGURE 5).


Figure 5. S-edge neighbourhood splice

Theorem 2.10. Let $G$ and $H$ are two simple connected graphs. Then the bounds for the Inverse sum indeg index of $G \bullet_{n e} H$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I S I\left[G \bullet_{n e} H\right] \leq \frac{4 \Delta_{G}\left[m_{1}-2\left(\Delta_{G}-1\right)\right]}{\Delta_{G}+2}+\Delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]+\frac{8\left(\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right)\left(\Delta_{G}-1\right)}{\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}+2}+\frac{2 \Delta_{H}^{2}\left(\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right)}{\Delta_{G}+2 \Delta_{H}} \\
& \text { and } \\
& I S I\left[G \bullet \bullet_{n e} H\right] \geq \frac{4 \delta_{G}\left[m_{1}-2\left(\delta_{G}-1\right)\right]}{\delta_{G}+2}+\delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]+\frac{8\left(\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}\right)\left(\delta_{G}-1\right)}{\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}+2}+\frac{2 \delta_{H}^{2}\left(\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}\right)}{\delta_{G}+2 \delta_{H}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I S I\left[G \bullet_{n e} H\right]=\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{n} H\right] \\
u \in V[G], v \in I[G]}}\left[\frac{d_{G}(u) .2}{d_{G}(u)+2}\right]+\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{n} H\right] \\
u, v \in V[H]}}\left[\frac{d_{H}(u) \cdot d_{H}(v)}{d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)}\right] \\
&+\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{n} H\right] \\
u \in M r\left[G \bullet_{n e} H\right], v \in I[G]}}\left[\frac{\left(d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)\right) \cdot 2}{\left(d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)\right)+2}\right]+\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{n} H\right] \\
u \in M r\left[G \bullet_{n e} H\right], v \in V[H]}}\left[\frac{\left(d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(w)\right) \cdot d_{H}(v)}{\left(d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(w)\right)+d_{H}(v)}\right] \\
&=2\left[m_{1}-d_{G}(S(e))\right]\left[\frac{2 d_{G}(u)}{d_{G}(u)+2}\right]+2\left[m_{2}-d_{H}(S(u))\right]\left[\frac{d_{H}(u) \cdot d_{H}(v)}{d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)}\right] \\
&+2 d_{G}(S(e))\left[\frac{2\left(d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)\right)}{d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)+2}\right]+2 d_{H}(S(u))\left[\frac{\left(d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(w)\right) \cdot d_{H}(v)}{d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(w)+d_{H}(v)}\right] . \\
& I S I\left[G \bullet_{n e} H\right] \leq \frac{4 \Delta_{G}\left[m_{1}-2\left(\Delta_{G}-1\right)\right]}{\Delta_{G}+2}+\Delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]+\frac{8\left(\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right)\left(\Delta_{G}-1\right)}{\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}+2}+\frac{2 \Delta_{H}^{2}\left(\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right)}{\Delta_{G}+2 \Delta_{H}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

One can analogously compute the following,

$$
I S I\left[G \bullet_{n e} H\right] \geq \frac{4 \delta_{G}\left[m_{1}-2\left(\delta_{G}-1\right)\right]}{\delta_{G}+2}+\delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]+\frac{8\left(\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}\right)\left(\delta_{G}-1\right)}{\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}+2}+\frac{2 \delta_{H}^{2}\left(\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}\right)}{\delta_{G}+2 \delta_{H}}
$$

Theorem 2.11. Let $G$ and $H$ are two simple connected graphs. Then the bounds for the $E M_{1}$ index of $G \bullet{ }_{n e} H$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
E M_{1}\left[G \bullet_{n e} H\right] & \leq 2 \Delta_{G}^{2}\left[m_{1}-2\left(\Delta_{G}-1\right)\right]+8\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]\left[\Delta_{H}-1\right]^{2}+4\left[\Delta_{G}-1\right]\left[\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right]^{2} \\
& +2 \Delta_{H}\left[\Delta_{G}+2\left(\Delta_{H}-1\right)\right]^{2} \\
\text { and } & \\
E M_{1}\left[G \bullet{ }_{n e} H\right] & \geq 2 \delta_{G}^{2}\left[m_{1}-2\left(\delta_{G}-1\right)\right]+8\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]\left[\delta_{H}-1\right]^{2}+4\left[\delta_{G}-1\right]\left[\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}\right]^{2} \\
& +2 \delta_{H}\left[\delta_{G}+2\left(\delta_{H}-1\right)\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E M_{1}\left[G \bullet_{n e} H\right] & =\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{n e} H\right] \\
u \in V[G], v \in I[G]}}\left[d_{G}(u)+2-2\right]^{2}+\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{n e} H\right] \\
u, v \in V[H]}}\left[d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)-2\right]^{2} \\
& +\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{n e} H\right] \\
u \in M r\left[G \bullet \bullet_{n e} H\right], v \in I[G]}}\left[\left(d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)+2-2\right]^{2}\right. \\
& +\sum_{\substack{u v \in E\left[G \bullet_{n e} H\right] \\
u \in M r\left[G \bullet \bullet_{n e} H\right], v \in V[H]}}\left[\left(d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(w)\right)+d_{H}(v)-2\right]^{2} . \\
& =2\left[m_{1}-d_{G}(S(e))\right]\left[d_{G}(u)\right]^{2}+2\left[m_{2}-d_{H}(S(u))\right]\left[d_{H}(u)+d_{H}(v)-2\right]^{2} \\
& +2 d_{G}(S(e))\left[d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(v)\right]^{2}+2 d_{H}(S(u))\left[d_{G}(u)+d_{H}(w)+d_{H}(v)-2\right]^{2} \\
E M_{1}\left[G \bullet_{n e} H\right] \leq & 2 \Delta_{G}^{2}\left[m_{1}-2\left(\Delta_{G}-1\right)\right]+8\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]\left[\Delta_{H}-1\right]^{2}+4\left[\Delta_{G}-1\right]\left[\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}\right]^{2} \\
& +2 \Delta_{H}\left[\Delta_{G}+2\left(\Delta_{H}-1\right)\right]^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

One can analogously compute the following,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E M_{1}\left[G \bullet{ }_{n e} H\right] & \geq 2 \delta_{G}^{2}\left[m_{1}-2\left(\delta_{G}-1\right)\right]+8\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]\left[\delta_{H}-1\right]^{2}+4\left[\delta_{G}-1\right]\left[\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}\right]^{2} \\
& +2 \delta_{H}\left[\delta_{G}+2\left(\delta_{H}-1\right)\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.12. Let $G$ and $H$ are two simple connected graphs. Then the bounds for the Atom-bond connectivite index and $S K_{1}$ index of $G \bullet_{n e} H$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A B C\left[G \bullet_{n e} H\right] \leq \sqrt{2}\left[m_{1}-2\left[\Delta_{G}-1\right]\right]+2 \sqrt{2}\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]\left[\frac{\sqrt{\Delta_{H}-1}}{\Delta_{H}}\right]+2 \sqrt{2}\left(\Delta_{G}-1\right) \\
& +2 \sqrt{\Delta_{H}} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_{G}+2 \Delta_{H}}{\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}}} . \\
& A B C\left[G \bullet_{n e} H\right] \geq \sqrt{2}\left[m_{1}-2\left[\delta_{G}-1\right]\right]+2 \sqrt{2}\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]\left[\frac{\sqrt{\delta_{H}-1}}{\delta_{H}}\right]+2 \sqrt{2}\left(\delta_{G}-1\right) \\
& +2 \sqrt{\delta_{H}} \sqrt{\frac{\delta_{G}+2 \delta_{H}}{\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}}} . \\
& S K_{1}\left[G \bullet_{n e} H\right] \leq\left[m_{1}-1\right]\left[\Delta_{G}+2\right]+2 \Delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\Delta_{H}\right]+\left[\Delta_{G}+\Delta_{H}+2\right]+\Delta_{H}\left[\Delta_{G}+2 \Delta_{H}\right] . \\
& S K_{1}[G \bullet n e H] \geq\left[m_{1}-1\right]\left[\delta_{G}+2\right]+2 \delta_{H}\left[m_{2}-\delta_{H}\right]+\left[\delta_{G}+\delta_{H}+2\right]+\delta_{H}\left[\delta_{G}+2 \delta_{H}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The proof technique is identical to the proof of Theorem 2.11.

## 3. Conclusion

The analysis of graphs and networks plays a significant role to deduce their underlying topologies. As such, it has been extensively used also in biomedicine, cheminformatics and in bioinformatics, where approximations based on graph indices and descriptors have been made available for effectively communicating with the several activities. In this article, we have presented the lower and upper bounds for the inverse sum indeg index, reformulated Zagreb index, atom bond connectivity index and $S K_{1}$ index in terms of the graph size and maximum or minimum vertex degrees of special splice graphs are obtained.
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