INEQUALITIES INVOLVING EXTENDED k-GAMMA AND k-BETA FUNCTIONS

G. RAHMAN, K. S. NISAR*, T. KIM, S. MUBEEN, AND M. ARSHAD

ABSTRACT. Our aim in this present paper is to introduce some inequalities such as Chebeshev's inequality, log-convexity, Hölder inequality etc. which involving the extended k-gamma and k-beta function recently introduced by Mubeen et al. (J. math. anal. Volume 7 Issue 5(2016), 118-131). The obtained inequalities for extended k-beta function are the generalization of inequalities of extended beta function recently proved by Mondal (J. Inequal. Appl. (2017) 2017:10). Also, these inequalities are the extended form of the some inequalities involving k-gamma and k-beta functions earlier proved by Rehman et al. (J. Inequal. Appl., 224(1): 2014).

2000 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION. 33B15, 33B20,33B99.

KEYWORDS AND PHRASES. extended gamma function, extended k-gamma function, extended beta function, extended k-beta function, log-convexity.

1. Introduction

In 1994, Chaudhry and Zubair [1] have introduced the following extension of gamma function

(1)
$$\Gamma_b(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t-bt^{-1}} dt, \quad Re(z) > 0, p \ge 0.$$

When b=0, then Γ_b tends to the classical gamma function Γ . In 1997, Chaudhry et al. [2] presented the following extension of Euler's beta function

(2)
$$B(x,y;b) = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x} (1-t)^{y} e^{-\frac{b}{t(1-t)}} dt$$

(where Re(b) > 0, Re(x) > 0, Re(y) > 0). When b = 0, then $B_0(x, y) = B(x, y)$.

In recent years, some extension of the well-known special functions have been considered by several authors (see [3]-[6]). Diaz et al. ([7]-[9]) have introduced k-gamma and k-beta functions and proved a number of their properties. They have also studied k-zeta functions and k-hypergeometric functions based on Pochhammer k-symbols for factorial functions. For k>0 and $z\in\mathbb{C}$, the k-gamma function is defined by

$$\Gamma_k(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n! k^n (nk)^{\frac{z}{k} - 1}}{(z)_{n,k}}.$$

^{*}Corresponding author.

Its integral representation is also given by,

$$\Gamma_k(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{\frac{-t^k}{k}} dt$$

and

$$\Gamma_k(z+k) = z\Gamma_k(z)$$

The relation between Pochhammer k-symbol and k-gamma function is given as

$$(z)_{n,k} = \frac{\Gamma_k(z + nk)}{\Gamma_k(z)}.$$

The k-beta function is defined by

(3)
$$B_k(x,y) = \frac{1}{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\frac{x}{k}-1} (1-t)^{\frac{y}{k}-1} dt.$$

The relation between k-gamma function and k-beta function is

(4)
$$B_k(x,y) = \frac{\Gamma_k(x)\Gamma_k(y)}{\Gamma_k(x+y)}, Re(x) > 0, Re(y) > 0.$$

These studies were then followed by works of Mansour [14], Kokologiannaki [11], Krasniqi [12, 13] and Merovci [15] elaborating and strengthening the scope of k-gamma and k-beta functions.

Recently Mubeen $et\ al.\ [16]$ introduced extended k-gamma and k-beta functions defined by:

(5)
$$\Gamma_{b,k}(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-\frac{t^k}{k} - \frac{b^k t^{-k}}{k}} dt.$$

and

(6)
$$B_k(x,y;b) = \frac{1}{k} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{x}{k}-1} (1-t)^{\frac{y}{k}-1} e^{-\frac{b^k}{kt(1-t)}} dt.$$

respectively. Clearly if b = 0, then (6) will reduces to the well known k-beta function (3).

In the same paper, they proved various properties of extended k-gamma and extended k-beta function. Also they defined further generalization of the extended k-gamma and k-beta function and k-beta distribution.

2. Inequalities involving the Extended K-beta Functions

In this section, we apply some classical integral inequalities such as Chebychev's inequality for Synchronous and asynchronous mapings, Hölder-Rogers inequality. We will prove several inequalities for extended k-beta functions. For this purpose of our study we need to recall the following well known result

Theorem 2.1. (Chebyshev's integral inequality [17], p. 40) If $f, g:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ are synchronous integrable functions and let $h:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive integrable function, then the following result holds:

(7)
$$\int_{a}^{b} h(t)f(t)dt \int_{a}^{b} h(t)g(t)dt \le \int_{a}^{b} h(t)dt \int_{a}^{b} h(t)f(t)g(t)dt.$$

The inequality (7) is reversed if f and g are asynchronous.

Theorem 2.2. Let $x, y, x_1, y_1 > 0$ such that $(x - x_1)(y - y_1) \ge 0$, then

(8)
$$B_{b,k}(x,y_1)B_k(x_1,y) \le B_{b,k}(x_1,y_1)B_{b,k}(x,y),$$

for all b > 0.

Proof. Consider $f(t) = t^{\frac{x-x_1}{k}}$, $g(t) = (1-t)^{\frac{y-y_1}{k}}$ and

$$h(t) = \frac{1}{k} t^{\frac{x_1}{k} - 1} (1 - t)^{\frac{y_1}{k} - 1} \exp\left[-\frac{b^k}{kt(1 - t)}\right].$$

Obviously, h is non negative on [0,1]. Since $(x-x_1)(y-y_1) \ge 0$, it follows that $f'(t) = \frac{1}{k}(x-x_1)t^{\frac{x-x_1}{k}-1}$ and $g'(t) = \frac{1}{k}(y-y_1)t^{\frac{y-y_1}{k}-1}$ have the same monotonicity on [0,1] for k > 0.

Applying Chebeshev's integral inequality (8) for f, g and h, we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{k} \int_{a}^{b} t^{\frac{x}{k}-1} (1-t)^{\frac{y_{1}}{k}-1} \exp[-\frac{b^{k}}{kt(1-t)}] dt \right) \left(\frac{1}{k} \int_{a}^{b} t^{\frac{x_{1}}{k}-1} (1-t)^{\frac{y}{k}-1} \exp[-\frac{b^{k}}{kt(1-t)}] dt \right)$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{k} \int_{a}^{b} t^{\frac{x_{1}}{k}-1} (1-t)^{\frac{y_{1}}{k}-1} \exp[-\frac{b^{k}}{kt(1-t)}] dt \right) \left(\frac{1}{k} \int_{a}^{b} t^{\frac{x}{k}-1} (1-t)^{\frac{y}{k}-1} \exp[-\frac{b^{k}}{kt(1-t)}] dt \right)$$
which is equivalent to (8). \square

Corollary 2.3. For m, p > 0, the following inequality holds for extended k-beta function

(26)
$$B_{b,k}(x,x_1) \ge \left[\beta_k(x,x)\,\beta_k(x_1,x_1)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, k > 0.$$

Proof. Setting $y_1 = x$ and $y = x_1$ in Theorem 2.2, we get Corollary 2.3.

$$B_{b,k}(x,x)B_{b,k}(x_1,x_1) \le B_{b,k}(x,x_1)B_{b,k}(x_1,x) = [B_{b,k}(x,x_1)]^2.$$

Theorem 2.4. Let m, p and r be positive real numbers such that p > r > -m. If $r(p-m-r) \ge (\le)0$, then

(9)
$$\Gamma_{b,k}(m)\Gamma_{b,k}(p) \ge (\le)\Gamma_{b,k}(p-r)\Gamma_{b,k}(m+r).$$

Proof. Let us define the mappings $f, g, h : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ given by

$$f(t) = t^{p-r-m}, \quad g(t) = t^r, \quad h(t) = t^{m-1}e^{-\frac{t^k}{k} - \frac{b^kt^{-k}}{k}}.$$

If $r(p-m-r) \ge (\le)0$, then we can claim that the mappings f and g are synchronous (asynchronous) $]0,\infty[$. Thus, by using Chebychev inequality

for the interval $I=(0,\infty)$ along with the functions f,g and h defined above, we can write

$$\begin{split} & \int\limits_{0}^{\infty}t^{m-1}e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k}-\frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}}dt\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}t^{p-r-m}t^{r}t^{m-1}e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k}-\frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}}dt \\ & \geq (\leq)\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}t^{p-r-m}t^{m-1}e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k}-\frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}}dt\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}t^{r}t^{m-1}e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k}-\frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}}dt. \end{split}$$

This implies that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{m-1} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{p-1} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt$$

$$\geq (\leq) \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{p-r-1} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{m+r-1} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt.$$

By (5), we get the required inequality (9).

Corollary 2.5. If p > 0 and $q \in \mathbb{R}$ with |q| < p, then

(10)
$$\Gamma_k(p) \le \left[\Gamma_k(p-q)\Gamma_k(p+q)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. By setting b=0, m=p and r=q in Theorem 2.4, then we get $r(p-m-r)=-q^2\leq 0$ and the relation (4) provides the desired Corollary 2.5. For complete study of corollary 2.5 the readers refer to [21].

Definition. Two positive real numbers m and n are said to be similarly (oppositely) unitary if (see (see [19])

$$(11) (m-1)(n-1) \ge (\le)0.$$

Theorem 2.6. If m, n > 0 are similarly (oppositely) unitary, then

(12)
$$\Gamma_{b,k}(m+n+k-1) \geq (\leq) \frac{\Gamma_{b,k}(m+k)\Gamma_{b,k}(n+k)}{\Gamma_{b,k}(k+1)}.$$

Proof. Consider the mappings $f, g, h : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$f(t) = t^{m-1}, \quad q(t) = t^{n-1}, \quad h(t) = t^k e^{-\frac{t^k}{k} - \frac{b^k t^{-k}}{k}}.$$

Now if the condition $(m-1)(n-1) \ge (\le)0$ holds, then Chebychev integral inequality along with the functions f, g and h defined above is obtained as

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{k} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{m-1} t^{n-1} t^{k} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt$$

$$\geq (\leq) \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{m-1} t^{k} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{n-1} t^{k} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt.$$

This implies that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{k} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{m+n+k-2} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt$$

$$\geq (\leq) \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{m+k-1} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{n+k-1} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt.$$

By the definition of extended k-gamma function, we have

$$\Gamma_{b,k}(k+1)\Gamma_{b,k}(m+n+k-1) \geq (\leq) \Gamma_{b,k}(m+k)\Gamma_{b,k}(n+k),$$

or

$$\Gamma_{b,k}(m+n+k-1) \geq (\leq) \frac{\Gamma_{b,k}(m+k)\Gamma_{b,k}(n+k)}{\Gamma_{b,k}(k+1)}.$$

remark 2.7. If b = 0, then we have the results of classical k-gamma function see [21].

Theorem 2.8. If m and n are positive real numbers such that m and n are similarly (oppositely) unitary, then

$$(\mathbf{P}_{b,k}(k)\Gamma_{b,k}((mk+nk+k)) \geq (\leq)\Gamma_{b,k}((mk+k))\Gamma_{b,k}((nk+k)); b \geq 0.$$

Proof. Consider the mappings $f, g, h : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$f(t) = t^{mk}, \quad g(t) = t^{nk}, \quad h(t) = t^{k-1} e^{-\frac{t^k}{k} - \frac{b^k t^{-k}}{k}}.$$

If the conditions of Theorem 2.6 hold, then the mappings f and g are synchronous (asynchronous) on $[0, \infty)$. Thus, by Chebychev integral inequality along with the choice of the functions f, g and h defined, we have

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{k-1} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{mk} t^{nk} t^{k-1} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt$$

$$\geq (\leq) \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{mk} t^{k-1} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{nk} t^{k-1} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt.$$

This implies that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{k-1} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{mk+nk+k-1} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt$$

$$\geq (\leq) \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{mk+k-1} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{nk+k-1} e^{-\frac{t^{k}}{k} - \frac{b^{k}t^{-k}}{k}} dt.$$

Thus by definition of extended k-gamma function, we have

$$\Gamma_{b,k}(k)\Gamma_{b,k}((mk+nk+k)) \ge (\le)\Gamma_{b,k}(mk+k)\Gamma_{b,k}(nk+k).$$

remark 2.9. If b = 0, then we have the following result of classical gamma function

(14)
$$\Gamma_b((m+n)k) \ge (\le) \frac{kmn\Gamma_k(mk)\Gamma_k(nk)}{(m+n)}.$$

see [21].

Lemma 2.10. (Hölder's Inequality see [22].) If p and q are positive real numbers satisfying the condition $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then for integrable functions $f, g: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\left| \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx \right| \le \left(\int_a^b |f|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b |g|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Theorem 2.11. Let p and q be positive real numbers satisfying the condition $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then prove that extended k-gamma function $\Gamma_{b,k} : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is log convex or $\log \Gamma_{b,k}$ is convex.

Proof. As

(15)
$$\Gamma_{b,k}(\frac{x}{p} + \frac{y}{q}) \le (\Gamma_{b,k}(x))^{\frac{1}{p}}(\Gamma_{b,k}(y))^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

(see [16]). Let $\lambda = \frac{1}{n}$ and $(1 - \lambda) = \frac{1}{q}$, then $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\Gamma_{b,k}(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \le (\Gamma_{b,k}(x))^{\lambda} (\Gamma_{b,k}(y))^{(1-\lambda)}$$
.

This implies that

$$\log(\Gamma_{b,k}(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y)) < \lambda \log \Gamma_{b,k}(x) + (1-\lambda) \log \Gamma_{b,k}(y)$$

for $x, y \in (0, \infty)$, thus $\log \Gamma_{b,k}$ is convex *i.e.*, $\Gamma_{b,k}$ is log-convex.

remark 2.12. By Theorem 2.11, the function $\Gamma_{b,k}$ is log-convex. Also, every log-convex function is convex [20], so the extended k-gamma function is convex.

Theorem 2.13. The function $b \to B_{b,k}(x,y)$ is logarithmically convex on $(0,\infty) \times (0,\infty)$ for each fixed x,y>0. In particular, the following inequalities holds:

(i)
$$B_{b,k}^2(\frac{x_1+x_2}{k}+\frac{y_1+y_2}{k}) \le B_{b,k}(x_1,y_1)B_{b,k}(x_2,y_2),$$

(ii)
$$\left[B_{b,k}(x,y)\right]^2 \le B_{b,k}(x+p,y+q)B_{b,k}(x-p,y-q).$$

Proof. Let $(p,q), (m,n) \in (0,\infty)^2$, and $c,d \ge 0$ with c+d=1, then we have

(16)
$$B_{b,k}(c(p,q) + d(m,n)) = B_{b,k}(cp + dm, cq + dn).$$

Applying the definition of extended k-beta function on the right hand side of above inequality , we get

$$B_{b,k}(c(p,q)+d(m,n)) = \int_{0}^{1} t^{\frac{cp+dm}{k}-1} (1-t)^{\frac{cq+dn}{k}-1} e^{-\frac{b^{k}}{kt(1-t)}} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} t^{\frac{cp+dm}{k}-(c+d)} (1-t)^{\frac{cq+dn}{k}-(c+d)} e^{-\frac{b^{k}}{kt(1-t)}(c+d)} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} t^{c(\frac{p}{k}-1)} t^{d(\frac{m}{k}-1)} (1-t)^{c(\frac{q}{k}-1)} (1-t)^{d(\frac{n}{k}-1)} e^{-\frac{b^{k}c}{kt(1-t)}} e^{-\frac{b^{k}d}{kt(1-t)}} dt$$

$$= \left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{\frac{p}{k}-1} (1-t)^{\frac{q}{k}-1} e^{-\frac{b^{k}}{kt(1-t)}}\right)^{c} \left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{\frac{m}{k}-1} (1-t)^{\frac{n}{k}-1} e^{-\frac{b^{k}}{kt(1-t)}} dt\right)^{d}.$$

Choose $p=\frac{1}{c}, q=\frac{1}{d}\Longrightarrow (\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=c+d=1, p\geq 1).$ Using the Lemma 2.10 , we have

$$\leq \frac{1}{k} \Big[\int_0^1 t^{\frac{p}{k}-1} (1-t)^{\frac{q}{k}-1} e^{-\frac{b^k}{kt(1-t)}} dt \Big]^c \times \Big[\int_0^1 t^{\frac{m}{k}-1} (1-t)^{\frac{n}{k}-1} e^{-\frac{b^k}{kt(1-t)}} dt \Big]^d.$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{split} B_{b,k}\Big[c(p,q) + d(m,n)\Big] &\leq \frac{1}{k}\Big[kB_{b,k}(p,q)\Big]^c \Big[kB_{b,k}(m,n)\Big]^d \\ &= k^{c+d-1}\Big[B_{b,k}(p,q)\Big]^c \Big[B_{b,k}(m,n)\Big]^d. \end{split}$$

Here, $\lambda = c$, $(1 - \lambda) = d$, then $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ which shows the logarithmic convexity of β_k on $(0, \infty)^2$.

Lemma 2.14. The function $b \to \frac{B_{b,k}(x-k,y-k)}{B_{b,k}(x,y)}$ is decreasing on $(0,\infty)$ for fixed x,y>0.

For $c = d = \frac{1}{2}$, the above inequality reduces to

(17)
$$B_{b,k}^2(\frac{x_1+x_2}{k}+\frac{y_1+y_2}{k}) \le B_{b,k}(x_1,y_1)B_{b,k}(x_2,y_2).$$

To prove (ii), Suppose that x, y > 0 be such that $\min(x + a, x - a) > 0$, then $x_1 = x + p$, $x_2 = x - p$ and $y_1 = y + q$, $y_2 = y - q$ in equation (17) gives

(18)
$$\left[B_{b,k}(x,y) \right]^2 \le B_{b,k}(x+p,y+q)B_{b,k}(x-p,y-q).$$

Proof. The log-convexity of $B_{b,k}(x,y)$ is equivalent to

(19)
$$\frac{d}{db} \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{d}{db} B_{b,k}(x,y) \\ \overline{B}_{b,k}(x,y) \end{array} \right) \ge 0.$$

Now one can get the following identity of extended k-beta function

$$\frac{d^n}{db^n}B(20)x,y)=(-1)^n(b)^{nk-n}B_{b,k}(x-nk,y-nk); n=0,1,\cdots,k>0, b>0.$$

Thus (19) reduces to

(21)
$$\frac{d}{db} \left(\frac{B_{b,k}(x-k,y-k)}{B_{b,k}(x,y)} \right) \le 0.$$

Hence the result follows.

Lemma 2.15. Let f and g be two integrable functions on [a,b] and h: $[a,b] \to [0,\infty)$ is such that $\int_a^b h(x)dx > 0$. If $m \le f(t) \le M$ and $l \le g(t) \le L$, for each $t \in [a,b]$, where m,M,l,L are given real constant. Then

$$\left| \frac{1}{\int_a^b h(x)dx} \int_a^b f(x)g(x)h(x)dx - \frac{1}{\int_a^b h(x)dx} \int_a^b f(x)h(x)dx \frac{1}{\int_a^b h(x)dx} \int_a^b g(x)h(x)dx \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4}(M-m)(N-n)$$

and the constant $\frac{1}{4}$ is best possible see [10].

Theorem 2.16. Let m, n, p, q and k be positive real numbers and r, s > -k then, we have

$$\left| B_{b,k}(r+k,s+k)\beta_k(m+p+r+k,n+q+s+k) - B_{b,k}(m+r+k,n+s+k)B_{b,k}(p+r+k,q+s+k) \right|$$

(39)
$$\leq \frac{1}{4k} \frac{m^{\frac{m}{k}} n^{\frac{n}{k}}}{(m+n)^{\frac{m+n}{k}}} \frac{p^{\frac{p}{k}} q^{\frac{q}{k}}}{(p+q)^{\frac{p+q}{k}}} B_{b,k}^2(r+k,s+k).$$

Proof. Consider the functions defined by

$$f_{m,n}(x) = x^{\frac{m}{k}} (1-x)^{\frac{n}{k}} = f(x) \quad , \qquad f_{p,q}(x) = x^{\frac{p}{k}} (1-x)^{\frac{q}{k}} = g(x),$$

$$f_{r,s}(x) = x^{\frac{r}{k}} (1-x)^{\frac{s}{k}} e^{-\frac{b^k}{kx(1-x)}} = h(x) \quad , \quad x \in [0,1], \ k > 0.$$

For the application of Grüss' inequality, we have to find the minima and maxima of $f_{a,b}(x)$, (a,b,k>0). Thus

$$\frac{d}{dx}f_{a,b}(x) = \frac{1}{k}x^{\frac{a}{k}-1}(1-x)^{\frac{b}{k}-1}[a-(a+b)x].$$

Here, we see that the solution of $f'_{a,b}(x) = 0$ in the interval (0,1) is $x_0 = \frac{a}{a+b}$. Also, $f'_{a,b}(x) > 0$ on $(0,x_0)$ and $f'_{a,b}(x) < 0$ on $(x_0,1)$. We conclude that x_0 is the maximum point in the interval (0,1) and consequently

$$m_{a,b} = \inf_{x \in [0,1]} f_{a,b}(x) = 0 = m(say)$$

and
$$M_{a,b} = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} f_{a,b}(x) = f_{a,b}(\frac{a}{a+b}) = \frac{a^{\frac{a}{k}} b^{\frac{b}{k}}}{(a+b)^{\frac{a+b}{k}}} = M(say).$$

Hence, by $Gr\ddot{u}ss'$ inequality 2.15, we have

$$\Rightarrow \left| \int_{0}^{1} x^{\frac{r}{k}} (1-x)^{\frac{s}{k}} e^{-\frac{b^{k}}{kx(1-x)}} dx. \int_{0}^{1} x^{\frac{p}{k}} (1-x)^{\frac{q}{k}} x^{\frac{r}{k}} (1-x)^{\frac{s}{k}} e^{-\frac{b^{k}}{kx(1-x)}} dx \right.$$

$$- \int_{0}^{1} x^{\frac{m}{k}} (1-x)^{\frac{n}{k}} x^{\frac{r}{k}} (1-x)^{\frac{s}{k}} e^{-\frac{b^{k}}{kx(1-x)}} dx \times \int_{0}^{1} x^{\frac{p}{k}} (1-x)^{\frac{q}{k}} x^{\frac{r}{k}} (1-x)^{\frac{s}{k}} e^{-\frac{b^{k}}{kx(1-x)}} dx \left. \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4k} \frac{p^{\frac{p}{k}} q^{\frac{q}{k}}}{(p+q)^{\frac{p+q}{k}}} \frac{r^{\frac{r}{k}} s^{\frac{s}{k}}}{(r+s)^{\frac{r+s}{k}}} \left[\int_{0}^{1} x^{\frac{r}{k}} (1-x)^{\frac{s}{k}} e^{-\frac{b^{k}}{kx(1-x)}} dx \right]^{2}.$$

Rearranging the terms on left hand side and using the relation (6) with simple algebraic computation, we reach the required proof.

Theorem 2.17. Let p,q, and k be positive real numbers and r, s > -k, then

$$\begin{vmatrix}
B_{b,k}(r+k,s+k)B_{b,k}(p+r+k,q+s+k) - B_{b,k}(p+r+k,s+k)B_{b,k}(r+k,q+s+k) \\
\leq \frac{1}{4k}B_{b,k}^2(r+k,s+k).$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.15 by considering the choice of functions defined by $x^{\frac{p}{k}} = f(x)$, $(1-x)^{\frac{q}{k}} = g(x)$, $f_{r,s}(x) = x^{\frac{r}{k}}(1-x)^{\frac{s}{k}} = h(x)$, $x \in [0,1]$, k > 0, Clearly, M = L = 1 and m = l = 0. Thus we have the following inequality

$$\left| B_{b,k}(r+k,s+k)B_{b,k}(p+r+k,q+s+k) - B_{b,k}(p+r+k,s+k)B_{b,k}(r+k,q+s+k) \right| \\
\leq \frac{1}{4k}B_{b,k}^{2}(r+k,s+k)$$

Lemma 2.18. (see [19] p. 295-310) Let f and g be two integrable functions on [a,b] and $h:[a,b]\to [0,\infty)$ is such that $\int_a^b h(x)dx>0$. If $m\leq f(t)\leq M$ and $l\leq g(t)\leq L$, for each $t\in [a,b]$, where m,M,l,L are given real constant. Then

$$\left| D(f,g;h) \right| \le D(f,f;h)^{\frac{1}{2}} D(g,g;h)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \frac{1}{4} (M-m)(N-n) \left[\int_a^b h(t)dt \right]^2$$

where

$$D(f,g;h) = \int_a^b h(t)dt \int_a^b h(t)f(t)g(t)dt - \int_a^b h(t)f(t)dt \int_a^b h(t)g(t)dt.$$

Theorem 2.19. Let $b_1, b_2, x, y > 0$. Then the following inequality holds:

$$\left| B_{(b_1^k + b_2^k)^{\frac{1}{k}}, k}(x + y + k, x + y + k) - B_{b_1, k}(x + k, y + k) B_{b_2, k}(x + k, y + k) \right|
\leq \left[B_{2b_1, k}(2x + k, 2x + k) - B_{b_1, k}(x + k, x + k)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\times \left[B_{2b_1, k}(2y + k, 2x + k) - B_{b_1, k}(y + k, y + k)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(22) \leq \frac{\exp\left(-4\left(\frac{b_1^k + b_2^k}{k}\right)\right)}{4^{x+y+1}k}$$

Proof. Consider the function

$$f(t) = x^{\frac{x}{k}} (1-t)^{\frac{x}{k}} \exp\left(-\frac{b_1^k}{kt(1-t)}\right)$$
$$g(t) = x^{\frac{y}{k}} (1-t)^{\frac{y}{k}} \exp\left(-\frac{b_1^k}{kt(1-t)}\right)$$

for $t \in [0,1]$ and $x, y, b_1, b_2 > 0$. It is clear that f(0) = f(1) = 0 and g(0) = g(1) = 0. Now for $t \in (0,1)$, we have

$$f'(t) = \frac{1}{k}f(t)(1 - 2t)\left(\frac{kxt(1-t) + b_1^k}{kt^2(1-t)^2}\right).$$

Since f(t) > 0 and $kxt(1-t) + b_1^k > 0$ on $t \in (0,1)$, f'(t) > 0 for $t > \frac{1}{2}$ and f'(t) < 0 for $t < \frac{1}{2}$. This implies

$$M = \frac{\exp\left(-4\frac{b_1^k}{k}\right)}{4^x}.$$

Similarly.

$$L = \frac{\exp\left(-4\frac{b_2^k}{k}\right)}{4^y}.$$

Now using f, g as defined above and taking h(t) = 1 for all $t \in [0, 1]$ in lemma 2.18 yields (22).

3. Conclusion

In this paper, we conclude that if f and g asynchronous then all the obtained inequalities will be reverse. Moreover, the obtained inequalities are the generalization of recently proved result of Mondal [18] and the extended form of some of the result of Rehman $et\ al.$ [21]. It is clear that if letting $k \to 1$, then our obtained results will reduce to the results of extended beta function see [18]. Similarly, if letting b=0, then we get some results of k-beta function earlier proved in [21].

References

- M.A. Chaudhry, S.M. Zubair, Generalized incomplete gamma functions with applications. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 55 (1994), 99-124.
- M.A. Chaudhry, A. Qadir, M. Rafique, S.M. Zubair, Extension of Euler's beta function.
 J. Comput. Appl. Math. 78 (1997), 19-32.
- [3] M.A. Chaudhry, S.M. Zubair, On the decomposition of generalized incomplete gamma functions with applications to Fourier transforms, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 59 (1995), 253-284
- [4] M.A. Chaudhry, N.M. Temme, E.J.M. Veling, Asymptotic and closed form of a generalized incomplete gamma function, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 67 (1996), 371-379.
- [5] M.A. Chaudhry, S.M. Zubair, Extended incomplete gamma functions with applications,
 J. Math. Anal. Appl. 274 (2002), 725-745.
- [6] M.A. Chaudhry, A. Qadir, H.M. Srivastava, R.B. Paris, Extended hypergeometric and confluent hypergeometric functions, Appl. Math. Comput. 159 (2004), 589-602.
- [7] R. Diaz, C. Teruel, q, k-Generalized gamma and beta functions, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, 12 (2005), 118-134.
- [8] R. Diaz, E. Pariguan, On hypergeometric functions and Pochhammer k-symbol, Divulgaciones Matemticas, 15 (2007), 179-192.
- [9] R. Diaz, C. Ortiz, E. Pariguan, On the k-gamma q-distribution, Central European Journal of Mathematics 8 (2010), 448-458.
- [10] S.S. Dragomir, R.P. Agarwal, N.S. Barnett, Inequalities for beta and gamma functions via some classical and new integral inequalities, Journal of Inequalities and Applications 5(2000), 103-165.
- [11] C. G. Kokologiannaki, Properties and inequalities of generalized k-gamma, beta and zeta functions, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 5(14)(2010), 653-660.

- [12] V. Krasniqi, A limit for the k-gamma and k-beta function, Int. Math. Forum, 5(2010), 1613-1617.
- [13] V. Krasniqi, Inequalities and monotonicity for the ration of k-gamma function, Scientia Magna. 6, 1 (2010), 40-45.
- [14] M. Mansour, Determining the k-generalized gamma function $\Gamma_k(x)$ by functional equations, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences. 4 (2009) pp. 1037-1042.
- [15] F. Merovci, Power product inequalities for the Γ_k function, Int. J. Math. Analysis, 4 (2010) pp. 1007-1012.
- [16] S. Mubeen, S. D. Purohit, M. Arshad, G. Rahman, Extention of k-gamma, k-beta and k-beta distribution, Journal of Mathematical Analysis, ISSN: 2217-3412, URL: http://ilirias.com/jma
- [17] D. S. Mitrinović, Analytic inequalities, Springer, New York, 1970.
- [18] S.R. Mondal, Inequalities of extended beta and extended hypergeometric functions, Journal of Inequalities and Applications 2017(2017), 10, DOI 10.1186/s13660-016-1276-9.
- [19] D. S. Mitrinović, J.E Pečarić, A.M. Fink, Classical and new inequalities in analysis, Mathematics and its application (East European Series), 61, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, (1993).
- [20] K. Nantomah, Some Inequalities for the k-Digamma Function. Mathematica Aeterna. 4(2014), 521 - 525.
- [21] A. Rehman, S. Mubeen, N. Sadiq, F. Shaheen, Some inequalities involving k-gamma and k-beta functions with application, Journal of Inequalities and Applications. 224(1)(2014).
- [22] W. Rudin, Real And Complex Analysis, McGraw-Hill International addition, third addition, 1987.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INTERNATIONAL ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY, ISLAMABAD, PAKISTAN

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: gauhar55uom@gmail.com}$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE-WADI ALDAWASER, PRINCE SATTAM BIN ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY, ALKHARJ, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA *E-mail address*: ksnisar10gmail.com, n.sooppy0psau.edu.sa

Department of Mathematics, College of Natural Science, Kwangwoon University, Seoul 139-704, S. Korea

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: tkkim@kw.ac.kr}$

Department of Mathematics, University of Sargodha, Sargodha, Pakistan $E\text{-}mail\ address:}$ smjhanda@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, International Islamic University, Islamabad, Pakistan

E-mail address: marshad_zia@yahoo.com