

## SEMI-SYMMETRIC SEMI-METRIC CONNECTION IN A LORENTZIAN $\beta$ -KENMOTSU MANIFOLD

<sup>1</sup>ABDUL HASEEB, <sup>2</sup>JAE-BOK JUN\*, <sup>3</sup>MOHD. DANISH SIDDIQI AND <sup>4</sup>MOBIN AHMAD

**ABSTRACT.** In the present paper, we consider a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection in a Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold. We investigate the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor of a Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection. Moreover, we consider pseudo projectively flat,  $\xi$ -pseudo projectively flat and  $\phi$ -pseudo projectively semisymmetric Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifolds with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection and obtain the scalar curvature  $r$  in each case.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 53B05, 53D15, 53C25.

**Keywords.**  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric, Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold, pseudo projective curvature tensor, semi-symmetric semi-metric connection.

### 1. Introduction

In 1969, S. Tanno classified connected almost contact metric manifolds whose automorphism groups possess the maximum dimension [10]. For such a manifold, the sectional curvature of plane sections containing  $\xi$  is a constant, say  $c$ . He showed that they can be divided into three classes: (1) homogeneous normal contact Riemannian manifolds with  $c > 0$ , (2) global Riemannian products of a line or a circle with a Kaehler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature if  $c = 0$  and (3) a warped product space  $R \times_f C$  if  $c > 0$ . It is known that the manifolds of class (1) are characterized by admitting a Sasakian structure. Kenmotsu [5] characterized the differential geometric properties of the manifolds of class (3); the structure so obtained is now known as Kenmotsu structure. In general, these structures are not Sasakian [5].

In the Gray-Hervella classification of almost Hermitian manifolds [4], there appears a class  $W_4$  of Hermitian manifolds, which are closely related to locally conformal Kaehler manifolds. An almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  on a manifold  $\bar{M}$  is called a trans-Sasakian structure [7], if the product manifold  $(\bar{M} \times R, J, G)$  belongs to the class  $W_4$  [4], where  $J$  is the almost complex structure on  $\bar{M} \times R$  defined by

$$J(X, ad/dt) = (\phi X - a\xi, \eta(X)ad/dt)$$

for all vector fields  $X$  on  $\bar{M}$  and smooth function  $a$  on  $\bar{M} \times R$  and  $G$  is the product metric on  $\bar{M} \times R$ . This may be expressed by the condition [1]

$$(1.1) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = \alpha[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X] + \beta[g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X]$$

for some smooth functions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  on  $\bar{M}$  and we say that the trans-Sasakian structure is of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .

From the condition (1.1) it follows that

$$(1.2) \quad \nabla_X \xi = -\alpha \phi X + \beta[X - \eta(X)\xi],$$

$$(1.3) \quad (\nabla_X \eta)Y = -\alpha g(\phi X, Y)\xi + \beta g(\phi X, \phi Y).$$

In particular from (1.1), one has the notion of a  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu structure which may be defined by

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \beta[g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X],$$

where  $\beta$  is a non-zero constant. Also, we have

$$\nabla_X \xi = \beta[X - \eta(X)\xi].$$

Thus  $\alpha = 0$  and therefore a trans-Sasakian structure of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$  with  $\beta$  a non-zero constant is always  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold. If  $\beta = 1$ , then  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold is Kenmotsu manifold.

A linear connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  in a Riemannian manifold  $\bar{M}$  is said to be a semi-symmetric connection [3,9] if its torsion tensor  $T$  of the connection  $\bar{\nabla}$

$$(1.4) \quad T(X, Y) = \bar{\nabla}_X Y - \bar{\nabla}_Y X - [X, Y]$$

satisfies

$$(1.5) \quad T(X, Y) = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y,$$

where  $\eta$  is a 1-form. If moreover, a semi-symmetric connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  satisfies the condition

$$(1.6) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X g)(Y, Z) = 2\eta(X)g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)g(X, Z) - \eta(Z)g(X, Y)$$

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \chi(\bar{M})$ , where  $\chi(\bar{M})$  is the Lie algebra of vector fields of the manifold  $\bar{M}$ , then  $\bar{\nabla}$  is said to be a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection.

## 2. Lorentzian $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifolds

A differentiable manifold  $\bar{M}$  of dimension  $n$  is called Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold if it admits a  $(1, 1)$ -tensor field  $\phi$ , a contravariant vector field  $\xi$ , a covariant vector field  $\eta$  and a Lorentzian metric  $g$  which satisfy

$$(2.1) \quad \phi^2 X = X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = -1, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \quad g(\phi X, Y) = -g(X, \phi Y)$$

for all  $X, Y \in \chi(\bar{M})$ . Then such a structure  $(\phi, \eta, \xi, g)$  is termed as Lorentzian para-contact structure and the manifold  $\bar{M}$  with a Lorentzian para-contact structure is called a Lorentzian para-contact manifold [6]. On a Lorentzian para-contact manifold, we also have

$$(2.3) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = \beta[g(\phi X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi X]$$

for any  $X, Y \in \chi(\bar{M})$ , where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the Lorentzian metric  $g$ . Thus a Lorentzian para-contact manifold satisfying (2.3) is called a Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold [11]. From (2.3), it is easy to obtain that

$$(2.4) \quad \nabla_X \xi = -\beta\phi^2 X = -\beta[X + \eta(X)\xi],$$

$$(2.5) \quad (\nabla_X \eta)Y = \beta g(\phi X, \phi Y) = \beta[g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)].$$

Moreover the Riemann curvature tensor  $R$ , the Ricci tensor  $S$  and the Ricci operator  $Q$  on a Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold  $\bar{M}$  with respect to the Levi-Civita connection satisfy the following equations [11]:

$$(2.6) \quad R(X, Y)\xi = \beta^2[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y],$$

$$(2.7) \quad R(\xi, X)Y = \beta^2[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X],$$

$$(2.8) \quad R(\xi, X)\xi = \beta^2[\eta(X)\xi + X],$$

$$(2.9) \quad S(X, \xi) = (n-1)\beta^2\eta(X), S(\xi, \xi) = -(n-1)\beta^2,$$

$$(2.10) \quad Q\xi = (n-1)\beta^2\xi,$$

$$(2.11) \quad S(\phi X, \phi Y) = -S(X, Y) - (n-1)\beta^2\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

where  $X, Y \in \chi(\bar{M})$  and  $S(X, Y) = g(QX, Y)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [12] A Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold  $\bar{M}$  is said to be an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor  $S$  of type  $(0, 2)$  satisfies

$$(2.12) \quad S(X, Y) = \lambda_1 g(X, Y) + \lambda_2 \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are smooth functions on  $\bar{M}$ . In particular, if  $\lambda_2 = 0$ , then an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold is an Einstein manifold.

Contracting (2.12), we have

$$(2.13) \quad r = n\lambda_1 - \lambda_2.$$

On the other hand, putting  $X = Y = \xi$  and using (2.9) in (2.12), we also have

$$(2.14) \quad -(n-1)\beta^2 = -\lambda_1 + \lambda_2.$$

Hence it follows from (2.13) and (2.14) that

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{r - (n-1)\beta^2}{n-1}, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{r - n(n-1)\beta^2}{n-1}.$$

So the Ricci tensor  $S$  of a Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold is given by

$$(2.15) \quad S(X, Y) = \frac{r - (n-1)\beta^2}{n-1}g(X, Y) + \frac{r - n(n-1)\beta^2}{n-1}\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

The relation between the semi-symmetric semi-metric connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  and the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$  is given by

$$(2.16) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \eta(X)Y + g(X, Y)\xi.$$

### 3. Curvature tensor of Lorentzian $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifolds with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection

Let  $\bar{M}$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold. The curvature tensor  $\bar{R}$  of  $\bar{M}$  with respect to a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  is defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \bar{R}(X, Y)Z = \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_Y Z - \bar{\nabla}_Y \bar{\nabla}_X Z - \bar{\nabla}_{[X, Y]}Z.$$

From (2.2), (2.16) and (3.1), we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y)Z &= (\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z) \\ &\quad + (\nabla_Y \eta)(X)Z - (\nabla_X \eta)(Y)Z + \eta(X)g(Y, Z)\xi \end{aligned}$$

$$-\eta(Y)g(X, Z)\xi + g(Y, Z)\nabla_X\xi - g(X, Z)\nabla_Y\xi.$$

Using (2.4) and (2.5) in (3.2), we get

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z - (\beta - 1)\eta(X)g(Y, Z)\xi \\ &\quad + (\beta - 1)\eta(Y)g(X, Z)\xi - \beta g(Y, Z)X + \beta g(X, Z)Y, \end{aligned}$$

where  $X, Y, Z \in \chi(\bar{M})$  and

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X\nabla_YZ - \nabla_Y\nabla_XZ - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z$$

is the Riemannian curvature tensor of the connection  $\nabla$ .

From (3.3), it follows that  $\bar{R}$  satisfies

$$(3.4) \quad \bar{R}(X, Y)Z + \bar{R}(Y, Z)X + \bar{R}(Z, X)Y = 0$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \bar{R}(X, Y)Z = -\bar{R}(Y, X)Z$$

which implies that  $\bar{R}$  satisfies the first Bianchi identity and skew-symmetric property with respect to the first two variables with respect to a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection.

On contracting  $X$  in (3.3), we get

$$(3.6) \quad \bar{S}(Y, Z) = S(Y, Z) + (2\beta - n\beta - 1)g(Y, Z) + (\beta - 1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z),$$

where  $\bar{S}$  and  $S$  are the Ricci tensors of the connections  $\bar{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$ , respectively on  $\bar{M}$ . This gives

$$(3.7) \quad \bar{Q}Y = QY + (2\beta - n\beta + 1)Y + (\beta - 1)\eta(Y)\xi,$$

where  $\bar{Q}$  and  $Q$  are the Ricci operators of the connections  $\bar{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$ , respectively on  $\bar{M}$ .

Contracting again  $Y$  and  $Z$  in (3.6), it follows that

$$(3.8) \quad \bar{r} = r - (n - 1)[(n - 1)\beta + 1],$$

where  $\bar{r}$  and  $r$  are the scalar curvatures of the connections  $\bar{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$ , respectively on  $\bar{M}$ .

From (3.6), it follows that

$$(3.9) \quad \bar{S}(Y, Z) = \bar{S}(Z, Y).$$

Thus the Ricci tensor  $\bar{S}$  in a Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection is symmetric.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\bar{M}$  be an  $n$ -deminsional Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection  $\bar{\nabla}$ , then*

$$(3.10) \quad \bar{R}(X, Y)\xi = \beta(\beta - 1)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y],$$

$$(3.11) \quad \bar{R}(\xi, X)Y = (\beta^2 - 1)g(X, Y)\xi - \beta(\beta - 1)\eta(X)Y + (\beta - 1)\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi,$$

$$(3.12) \quad \bar{R}(\xi, X)\xi = \beta(\beta - 1)[\eta(X)\xi + X],$$

$$(3.13) \quad \bar{S}(Y, \xi) = [(n - 1)\beta^2 - (n + 1)\beta + 2n]\eta(Y),$$

$$(3.14) \quad \bar{Q}\xi = [\beta(\beta - 1)(n - 1) + 2]\xi,$$

where  $X, Y \in \chi(\bar{M})$ .

*Proof.* From equations (2.1), (2.2), (2.6)-(2.10), (3.3), (3.6) and (3.7), we find equations (3.10)-(3.14) easily.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\bar{M}$  be an  $n$ -deminsional Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection  $\bar{\nabla}$ , then*

$$(3.15) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = (\beta - 1)g(\phi X, Y)\xi + \beta\eta(Y)\phi X,$$

$$(3.16) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -\beta[X + \eta(X)\xi],$$

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{S}(\phi X, \phi Y) &= -S(X, Y) - (2\beta - n\beta - 1)g(X, Y) \\ &\quad - [(n - 1)\beta^2 - n\beta + 2n - 1]\eta(X)\eta(Y), \end{aligned}$$

where  $X, Y \in \chi(\bar{M})$ .

*Proof.* By the covariant differentiation of  $\phi Y$  with respect to  $X$ , we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y = (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y + \phi(\bar{\nabla}_X Y)$$

which by using (2.1) and (2.16) takes the form

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = (\nabla_X \phi)Y + g(X, \phi Y)\xi.$$

In view of (2.3), the last equation gives

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)(Y) = (\beta - 1)g(\phi X, Y)\xi + \beta\eta(Y)\xi.$$

To prove (3.16), we replace  $Y = \xi$  in (2.16) and we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi = \nabla_X \xi - \eta(X)\xi + g(X, \xi)\xi.$$

Using (2.2) and (2.4), it follows that

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -\beta(X + \eta(X)\xi).$$

In order to prove (3.17), we replace  $X = \phi X$  and  $Y = \phi Y$  in  $\bar{S}(X, Y) = g(\bar{Q}X, Y)$  and we have

$$\bar{S}(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(\bar{Q}\phi X, \phi Y).$$

Using properties  $g(X, \phi Y) = -g(\phi X, Y)$ ,  $\phi Q = Q\phi$  and (3.13) in the last equation, we get

$$\bar{S}(\phi X, \phi Y) = -\bar{S}(X, Y) - [(n - 1)\beta^2 - (n + 1)\beta + 2n]\eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

which by using (3.6) gives (3.17).  $\square$

#### 4. Pseudo projectively flat Lorentzian $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifolds with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection

**Definition 4.1.** *The Pseudo projective curvature tensor  $\bar{P}$  of an  $n$ -dimensional Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold  $\bar{M}$  with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  is given by [8]*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{P}(X, Y)Z &= a\bar{R}(X, Y)Z + b[\bar{S}(Y, Z)X - \bar{S}(X, Z)Y] \\ &\quad - \frac{\bar{r}}{n}(\frac{a}{n-1} + b)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y], \end{aligned}$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are constants such that  $a, b \neq 0$  and  $\bar{R}$ ,  $\bar{S}$  and  $\bar{r}$  are the curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection on  $\bar{M}$ .

Let us assume that the manifold  $\bar{M}$  with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection is pseudo projectively flat, then

$$(4.2) \quad g(\bar{P}(X, Y)Z, \phi W) = 0$$

and hence

$$(4.3) \quad ag[\bar{R}(X, Y)Z, \phi W] + b[\bar{S}(Y, Z)g(X, \phi W) - \bar{S}(X, Z)g(Y, \phi W)] \\ - \frac{\bar{r}}{n}(\frac{a}{n-1} + b)[g(Y, Z)g(X, \phi W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, \phi W)] = 0.$$

Putting  $Y = Z = \xi$  and using (2.1), (2.2), (3.12) and (3.13) in (4.3), we have

$$(4.4) \quad -a\beta(\beta - 1)g(X, \phi W) - ((n - 1)\beta^2 - (n + 1)\beta + 2n)bg(X, \phi W) \\ + \frac{\bar{r}}{n}(\frac{a}{n-1} + b)g(X, \phi W) = 0$$

which on using (3.8) gives

$$(4.5) \quad r = (n - 1)[(n - 1)\beta + 1] + \frac{n(n - 1)[a\beta(\beta - 1) + ((n - 1)\beta^2 - (n + 1)\beta + 2n)b]}{a + b(n - 1)},$$

as  $g(X, \phi W) \neq 0$ .

Thus we can state the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.2.** *If a Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection is pseudo projectively flat, then the scalar curvature  $r$  is given by (4.5).*

## 5. $\xi$ -pseudo projectively flat Lorentzian $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifolds with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection

If the Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifolds with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection is  $\xi$ -pseudo projectively flat, then

$$(5.1) \quad \bar{P}(X, Z)\xi = 0$$

and hence

$$(5.2) \quad a\bar{R}(X, Y)\xi + b[\bar{S}(Y, \xi)X - \bar{S}(X, \xi)Y] - \frac{\bar{r}}{n}(\frac{a}{n-1} + b)[g(Y, \xi)X - g(X, \xi)Y].$$

Taking inner product of (5.2) with  $U$ , we have

$$(5.3) \quad ag[\bar{R}(X, Y)\xi, U] + b[\bar{S}(Y, \xi)g(X, U) - \bar{S}(X, \xi)g(Y, U)] \\ - \frac{\bar{r}}{n}(\frac{a}{n-1} + b)[\eta(Y)g(X, U) - \eta(X)g(Y, U)].$$

Taking  $Y = \xi$  and using (2.1), (2.2), (3.12) and (3.13) in (5.3), we get

$$(5.4) \quad ag[\bar{R}(X, Y)\xi, U] = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{R}(X, Y)\xi = 0.$$

Using (2.2), (3.13) and (5.4) in (5.2), it follows that

$$(5.5) \quad [((n - 1)\beta^2 - (n + 1)\beta + 2n)b - \frac{\bar{r}}{n}(\frac{a}{n-1} + b)](\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) = 0$$

which on using (3.8) gives

$$(5.6) \quad r = (n - 1)[(n - 1)\beta + 1] + \frac{n(n - 1)[(n - 1)\beta^2 - (n + 1)\beta + 2n]b}{a + b(n - 1)}.$$

Thus we can state the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.1.** *If a Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection is  $\xi$ -pseudo projectively flat, then  $\bar{R}(X, Y)\xi = 0$  and the scalar curvature  $r$  is given by (5.6).*

## 6. $\phi$ -pseudo projectively semisymmetric Lorentzian $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifolds with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection

**Definition 6.1.** *A Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection  $(\bar{M}^n, g)$ ,  $n > 1$ , is said to be  $\phi$ -pseudo projectively semisymmetric if  $\bar{P}(X, Y) \cdot \phi = 0$  on  $\bar{M}$  for all  $X, Y \in \chi(\bar{M})$ .*

Let  $\bar{M}$  be an  $n$ -dimensional ( $n > 1$ )  $\phi$ -pseudo projectively semisymmetric Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection. Therefore  $\bar{P}(X, Y) \cdot \phi = 0$  turns into

$$(6.1) \quad (\bar{P}(X, Y) \cdot \phi)Z = \bar{P}(X, Y)\phi Z - \phi\bar{P}(X, Y)Z = 0$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y$  and  $Z \in \chi(\bar{M})$ . Now from (4.1), we have

$$(6.2) \quad \bar{P}(X, Y)\phi Z = a\bar{R}(X, Y)\phi Z + b[\bar{S}(Y, \phi Z)X - \bar{S}(X, \phi Z)Y] \\ - \frac{\bar{r}}{n} \left( \frac{a}{n-1} + b \right) [g(Y, \phi Z)X - g(X, \phi Z)Y].$$

In view of (2.1), (3.3), (3.6) and (3.8), (6.2) yields

$$(6.3) \quad \bar{P}(X, Y)\phi Z = a[\beta^2(g(Y, \phi Z)X - g(X, \phi Z)Y) - (\beta - 1)\eta(X)g(Y, \phi Z)\xi \\ + (\beta - 1)\eta(Y)g(X, \phi Z)\xi - \beta g(Y, \phi Z)X + \beta g(X, \phi Z)Y] \\ + b[S(Y, \phi Z)X - S(X, \phi Z)Y + (2\beta - n\beta - 1)g(Y, \phi Z)X - (2\beta - n\beta - 1)g(X, \phi Z)Y] \\ - \frac{r - (n-1)((n-1)\beta + 1)}{n} \left( \frac{a}{n-1} + b \right) [g(Y, \phi Z)X - g(X, \phi Z)Y].$$

Similarly, we have

$$(6.4) \quad \phi\bar{P}(X, Y)Z = a[\beta^2(g(Y, Z)\phi X - g(X, Z)\phi Y) - \beta g(Y, Z)\phi X + \beta g(X, Z)\phi Y] \\ + b[S(Y, Z)\phi X - S(X, Z)\phi Y + (2\beta - n\beta - 1)g(Y, Z)\phi X \\ - (2\beta - n\beta - 1)g(X, Z)\phi Y + (\beta - 1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\phi X - (\beta - 1)\eta(X)\eta(Z)\phi Y] \\ - \frac{r - (n-1)((n-1)\beta + 1)}{n} \left( \frac{a}{n-1} + b \right) [g(Y, Z)\phi X - g(X, Z)\phi Y].$$

Putting (6.3) and (6.4) and then taking  $Y = \xi$  in (6.1), we obtain

$$(6.5) \quad [a(1 - \beta^2) - b \left( \frac{r - (n-1)\beta^2}{n-1} + (2\beta - n\beta - 1) \right) + \frac{r - (n-1)((n-1)\beta + 1)}{n} \\ \left( \frac{a}{n-1} + b \right)] g(X, \phi Z)\xi + [-a\beta(\beta - 1) + b((n-1)\beta^2 + 2\beta - n\beta - 1) - \beta + 1] \\ - \frac{r - (n-1)((n-1)\beta + 1)}{n} \left( \frac{a}{n-1} + b \right) \eta(Z)\phi X = 0.$$

Now considering  $Z$  to be orthogonal to  $\xi$ , then  $\eta(Z) = 0$  and  $g(X, \phi Z) \neq 0$ , which implies that

$$(6.6) \quad [(-a\beta^2 + a) - b \left( \frac{r - (n-1)\beta^2}{n-1} + (2\beta - n\beta - 1) \right) + \frac{r - (n-1)((n-1)\beta + 1)}{n} \left( \frac{a}{n-1} + b \right)] = 0$$

which on simplifying gives

$$(6.7) \quad r = \frac{n(n-1)[(2-n)b\beta - (a+b) + (a-b)\beta^2] + (n-1)((n-1)\beta^2 + 1)(a + b(n-1))}{a-b}.$$

Thus we can state the following theorem:

**Theorem 6.2.** *For an  $n$ -dimensional  $\phi$ -pseudo projectively semisymmetric ( $n > 1$ ) Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection, the curvature tensor  $r$  is given by (6.7).*

### 7. $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric Lorentzian $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifolds with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection

**Definition 7.1.** [2] *A Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold  $\bar{M}$  with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  is said to be  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric if the Ricci operator  $\bar{Q}$  satisfies*

$$\phi^2(\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{Q})(Y) = 0$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y \in \chi(\bar{M})$  and  $\bar{S}(X, Y) = g(\bar{Q}X, Y)$ .

**Theorem 7.2.** *An  $n$ -dimensional  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifold with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold.*

*Proof.* Let us assume that the manifold is  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection. Then we have

$$(7.1) \quad \phi^2(\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{Q})(Y) = 0$$

which in view of (2.1) becomes

$$(7.2) \quad (\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{Q})(Y) + \eta((\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{Q})(Y))\xi = 0.$$

Taking inner product of (7.2) with  $Z$ , we have

$$g[(\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{Q})(Y), Z] + \eta((\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{Q})(Y))\eta(Z) = 0$$

which on simplifying takes the form

$$(7.3) \quad g[(\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{Q}Y), Z] - \bar{S}[(\bar{\nabla}_X Y), Z] + \eta((\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{Q})(Y))\eta(Z) = 0.$$

Replacing  $Y = \xi$  and using (3.13), (3.14) and (3.16) in (7.3), we find

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{S}(X, Z) &= [\beta(\beta - 1)(n - 1) + 2]g(X, Z) \\ &\quad + [\beta(\beta - 1)(n - 1) + 2 - (n - 1)\beta^2 + (n + 1)\beta - 2n]\eta(X)\eta(Z). \end{aligned}$$

By replacing  $X = \phi X$  and  $Z = \phi Z$  and using (2.2), (7.4) reduces to

$$(7.5) \quad \bar{S}(\phi X, \phi Z) = [\beta(\beta - 1)(n - 1) + 2]g(\phi X, \phi Z).$$

which in view of (2.2) and (3.17) gives

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} S(X, Z) &= -[(n - 1)\beta^2 - (2n - 3)\beta + 1]g(X, Z) \\ &\quad - [2(n - 1)\beta^2 - (2n - 1)\beta + 2n + 1]\eta(X)\eta(Z). \end{aligned}$$

Equation (7.6) is of the form  $S(X, Y) = \lambda_1 g(X, Y) + \lambda_2 \eta(X)\eta(Y)$ , where  $\lambda_1 = -[(n - 1)\beta^2 - (2n - 3)\beta + 1]$  and  $\lambda_2 = -[2(n - 1)\beta^2 - (2n - 1)\beta + 2n + 1]$ . This result shows that the manifold under the consideration is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold.  $\square$



## REFERENCES

- [1] Blair, D. E. and Oubina, J. A., *Conformal and related changes of metric on the product of two almost contact metric manifolds*, Publications Mathematiques, **34**(1990), 199-207.
- [2] De, U. C. and Sarkar, A., *On  $\phi$ -Ricci symmetric Sasakian manifolds*, Proceedings of the Jangjeon Mathematical Soc., **11**(1) (2008), 47-52.
- [3] Friedmann, A. and Schouten, J. A., *Über die Geometrie der halbsymmetrischen Übertragung*, Math. Z. **21**(1924), 211-223.
- [4] Gray, A. and Hervella, L. M., *The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants*, Ann. Math. Pura Appl., **123**(1980), 35-58.
- [5] Kenmotsu, K., *A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J., **24**(1972), 93-103.
- [6] Matsumoto, K., *On a Lorentzian para-contact manifolds*, Bull. of Yamagata Univ. Nat. Sci. **12**(1989), 151-156.
- [7] Oubina, J. A., *New classes of contact metric structures*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **32**(1985), 187-193.
- [8] Prasad, B., *On pseudo projective curvature tensor on a Riemannian manifold*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., **94**(2002), 163-166.
- [9] Schouten, J. A., *Ricci Calculus* (Springer, 1954).
- [10] Tanno, S., *The automorphism groups of almost contact Riemannian manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J., **21**(1969), 21-38.
- [11] Yaliniz, A. F., Yildiz, A. and Turan, M., *On three dimensional Lorentzian  $\beta$ -Kenmotsu manifolds*, Kuwait J. Sci. Eng., **36**(2009), 51-62.
- [12] Yano, K. and Kon, M., *Structures on Manifolds*, Series in Pure Mathematics, World Scientific, Singapore, 1984.

<sup>1</sup>Abdul Haseeb , <sup>3</sup>Mohd. Danish Siddiqi and <sup>4</sup>Mobin Ahmad

Department of Mathematics  
Jazan University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

<sup>1</sup>Email: malikhaseeb80@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>Email: anallintegral@gmail.com

<sup>4</sup>Email: mobinahmad@rediffmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Jae-Bok Jun\*(Corresponding Author),

Department of Mathematics

College of Natural Science

Kookmin University,

Seoul 136-702, Korea.

Email: jbjun@kookmin.ac.kr